

International Journal of Advanced Biochemistry Research



ISSN Print: 2617-4693
 ISSN Online: 2617-4707
 IJABR 2024; 8(7): 818-824
www.biochemjournal.com
 Received: 12-04-2024
 Accepted: 19-05-2024

Rudraboyina Sai Kiran
 M.Sc. (Ag.) Entomology,
 Department of Entomology,
 Naini Agricultural Institute,
 Sam Higginbottom University
 of Agriculture, Technology and
 Sciences, Naini, Prayagraj,
 Uttar Pradesh, India

Dr. Ashwani Kumar
 Associate Professor and Head,
 Department of Entomology,
 Naini Agricultural Institute,
 Sam Higginbottom University
 of Agriculture, Technology and
 Sciences, Naini, Prayagraj,
 Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:
Rudraboyina Sai Kiran
 M.Sc. (Ag.) Entomology,
 Department of Entomology,
 Naini Agricultural Institute,
 Sam Higginbottom University
 of Agriculture, Technology and
 Sciences, Naini, Prayagraj,
 Uttar Pradesh, India

Comparative efficacy of biopesticides and selected chemicals against shoot and fruit borer, *Earias vittella* (Fabricius) on okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]

Rudraboyina Sai Kiran and Dr. Ashwani Kumar

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2024.v8.i7j.1593>

Abstract

This field trial, which is entitled “Comparative efficacy of biopesticides and selected chemicals against shoot and fruit borer [*Earias vittella* (Fabricius)] on okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]” was carried out at the Central Research Farm of Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj. The experimental trial was conducted during the Rabi season of 2020-21 in Randomized Block Design (RBD) on three replications, okra cultivar i.e. Super green. Two applications of eight treatments viz., T₁ Neem oil (3 ml/L), T₂ Spinosad 45% SC (0.5 ml/L), T₃ Sixer plus (1.5 ml/L), T₄ *Metarhizium anisopliae* 1.0% WP (1×10⁸ CFU/gm) (5 gm/L), T₅ Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC (0.3 ml/L), T₆ Cypermethrin 25% EC (2 ml/L), T₇ *Beauveria bassiana* 1.0% WP (1×10⁹ CFU/gm) (5 gm/L) and T₀ Control (Untreated). Among all the treatments minimum Percent shoot infestation, Percent fruit infestation, and Cost-benefit ratio were observed in T₅ Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC with (5.43%, 4.64% and 1:3.86) which was followed by T₂ Spinosad 45% SC (9.49%, 6.34 and 1:3.24), T₆ Cypermethrin 25% EC (10.86%, 8.72% and 1:2.90), T₃ Sixer plus (13.13%, 11.29% and 1:2.54), T₇ *Beauveria bassiana* 1.0% WP (14.56%, 13.82% and 1:2.00), T₁ Neem oil (17.20%, 15.63% and 1:1.89), T₄ *Metarhizium anisopliae* 1.0% WP (18.47%, 17.38% and 1:1.65) and T₀ Control (Untreated) (22.19%, 26.99% and 1:1.53) respectively.

Keywords: Biopesticides, chlorantraniliprole, cypermethrin, efficacy, *Earias vittella*, okra

Introduction

Okra, *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench is the most widely consumed vegetable in the Malvaceae family. Locally, it is referred to as lady's finger and Bhendi. In India, it's grown in the summer and the rainy season. As a summer crop and a winter crop, respectively, it is widely grown in Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, and North India (Gautam *et al.*, 2015) [19]. According to estimates, okra is grown on 1.26 million acres of land worldwide and produces 22.29 million tonnes of okra annually. The main nations that are experiencing growth in this regard are Saudi Arabia, Nigeria, Pakistan, Ghana, Egypt, Cameroon, and India. India is the world leader in okra production, producing 5784.0 thousand tonnes (72% of total output) annually over an area of 1148.0 thousand hectares, with productivity of 11.9 million tonnes per hectare. Although the crop is grown all over India, the state of Andhra Pradesh is the one that produces the most, producing roughly 1184.2 thousand tonnes of it annually from 78.90 thousand hectares, or 15 tonnes per ha. West Bengal comes in second place with 862.1 thousand tonnes from 74.00 thousand and a productivity of 11.70 tonnes per hectare. Okra yields and productivity in the Uttar Pradesh region are 12.19 hectares, 148.64 tonnes, or 12.2 metric tonnes per hectare. It is a significant cash crop that offers okra farmers a high rate of return. Okra, however, is also regarded as a haven for diseases and pests, so it needs extra care to combat them when and how they should be. The crop grows in two distinct stages: the fruiting stage and the vegetative stage. Twenty insect pests attack okra plants at different stages of growth. (Butani and Verma, 1976) [61]. Approximately 20 insect pests are said to be responsible for 65-100% of okra crop losses (Butani and Verma, 1976) [61]. The *Earias vittella* (Fabricius) shoot & fruit borer is the most harmful pest insect that affects okra. According to estimates, fruit borer and shoot damage ranged from 35 to 76 percent on average (Narke and Suryawanshi, 1987) [62].

In certain Southeast Asian nations, the OSFB can harm okra fruit by up to 40-50percent, according to Srinivasan *et al.*, (1959) [63]. A fruit borer infestation affected 35percent of the harvestable okra fruit, according to Krishnaiah (1980) [64]. This pest was also found to have harmed 40-50% of the fruit in Madras (Srinivasan and Gowder, 1959) [63]. In both the kharif and summer seasons, the fruit borer *Earias vittella* attacks okra four to five weeks after germination. Attacked tender top shoots dry up, and blossoms, buds, and budding fruit drop off too soon. *Earias vittella* larvae penetrate the tips of young plants before entering the fruit. It is not safe for humans to consume the affected fruits. To control these pests, farmers use traditional insecticides like carbamates, organophosphate, and synthetic pyrethroids. However, the careless and insufficient application of synthetic pesticides causes many problems for the agroecosystem, including direct damage to fish, people, and beneficial insects. The only thing that has caused the insect pest to become resistant and disrupt the agroecosystem because of its effects on non-targets is the continuous application of systemic insecticides. Insect pest damage, pesticide use, and ecological balance have all been significantly reduced in numerous prior studies regarding the heavy usage of botanicals, biopesticides, and alternative insecticides that are less harmful to the environment in conjunction with IPM techniques for the ecologically sound control of insect pests on okra farms. With the following goals in mind, a study titled "Comparative efficacy of biopesticides and selected chemicals against shoot and fruit borer [*Earias vittella* (Fabricius)] on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench)" was done. In particular, the investigation aimed to evaluate the efficacy of biopesticides with cypermethrin 25% EC and Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC against shoot and fruit borer of okra. As well as to evaluate the economics (Cost-benefit ratio) of treatments.

Materials and Methods

During the 2020-21 *Rabi* season, the experiment was carried out at the Central Research Farm of Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences in Naini, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh. The research field is located

on the right side of Rewa Road at 25° 22' 15.888 North Latitude and 81°51' 31.4712 East Longitude, approximately 98 meters above mean sea level. Prayagraj experiences the typical subtropical climate of eastern Uttar Pradesh. Here are the summer and winter extremes. The highest temperature ever recorded in the summer was 47°C, & the lowest temperature ever recorded in the winter was 1.5°C. At the research farm, all the facilities required for crop cultivation were available. Three replications were used in the Randomized Block Design experiment. The same suggested agronomic practices for all the treatments, from sowing to harvesting, were used in the sowing process.

Method of recording observations and field efficacy of treatments

Once the population level reached ETL, the spraying was completed. The observations were taken one day before spraying, 3, 7, and 14 days after spraying. The shoot damage assessment was computed and expressed as a percentage based on the number of damaged shoots and the total number of healthy shoots observed from five randomly selected plants per plot. To calculate the percentage of fruit damage at each picking, the total number of impacted fruits from each plot was counted.

Shoot and fruit Infestation

Each week, the total number of shoots and fruits on five randomly selected plants in each plot were counted. Additionally, the number of visually inspected and recorded infested shoots and fruits was also recorded. The following formula was used to determine the percentage of shoot and fruit infestation (Choudhury *et al.*, 2021) [12].

$$\text{Percent Shoot infestation} = \frac{\text{Number of infested shoots}}{\text{Number of total shoots}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Percent Fruit infestation} = \frac{\text{Number of infested fruits}}{\text{Number of total fruits}} \times 100$$

Table 1: Details of experiment

Season	<i>Rabi</i>
Crop	Okra
Design	Randomized Block Design (RBD)
Replication	03
Treatment	08
Plot size	2 mx 1 m
Total no of plots	24
Total length of area	20 m
Total width of area	5.8 m
Main irrigation channel	1 m
Sub-irrigation channel	0.5 m
Width of the bund	0.3 m
N:P:K	100:60:50kg/ha.
Net cultivated area	48 m ²
Soil	Sandy loam
Variety	Super green
Seed rate	10 kg/ha.
Spacing	45 × 30 cm
Row-to-row distance	45 cm
Plant-to-plant distance	30 cm
Farm yard manure	20 t/ha.
Total area	107.3 m ²

Table 2: Details of biopesticides and selected chemical insecticides used in the experiment

Sr. No.	Treatments	Insecticide names and Formulation	Group	Waiting period	Dosage (ml/gm/Liter)	Reference
1.	T ₁	Neem oil	Botanical	07	3ml	Rakshith and Kumar (2016)
2.	T ₂	Spinosad 45% SC	Spinosyns	03	0.5ml	Panbude <i>et al.</i> , (2019)
3.	T ₃	Sixer plus	Organic molecule	01	1.5ml	Mahajan <i>et al.</i> , (2020) [67]
4.	T ₄	<i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> 1.0% WP (1×10 ⁸ CFU/gm)	Biopesticide	02	5gm	Nalini and Kumar (2016) [65]
5.	T ₅	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC	Anthranilic diamide	05	0.3ml	Kumar <i>et al.</i> , (2017)
6.	T ₆	Cypermethrin 25% EC	Synthetic Pyrethroid	03	2ml	Padwal and Kumar (2014)
7.	T ₇	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> 1.0% WP (1×10 ⁹ CFU/gm)	Biopesticide	02	5gm	Sarkar <i>et al.</i> , (2015)
8.	T ₀	Control (Untreated)	-	-	-	-

Note: The waiting period and treatment dosages were determined in accordance with the Ministry of Agriculture recommendations from the Government of India. Major use of pesticide: Registered under the Insecticide Act, 1968. As on January 31, 2020, and January 1, 2021

Cost Benefit Ratio

The gross return was computed by multiplying the entire yield by the market price of the produce. The cost of cultivation and treatment imposition was deducted from the gross returns to determine the net returns and cost benefit ratio using the following formula. The B:C ratio was estimated using a formula (Nalini and Kumar, 2016) [65].

$$\text{Cost benefit ratio} = \frac{\text{Gross returns}}{\text{Total cost of production}}$$

Statistical Analysis

The collected observations and data were analyzed statistically using Randomized Block Design (RBD) to determine their significance.

Results and Discussion

To assess the efficacy of biopesticides with cypermethrin 25% EC and chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC against the shoot and fruit borer [*Earias vittella* (Fab.)] on okra: First spray: Percent shoot infestation.

Every treatment was found to be significantly better than the control, as indicated by Table 3, data on the percent shoot infestation on the third, seventh, and fourteenth post-spray. T₅ Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC (5.43%) had the lowest percent shoot infestation of okra shoot and fruit borer when compared to the untreated T₀ control (22.19%). This was succeeded by T₂ Spinosad 45% SC (9.49%), T₆ Cypermethrin 25% EC (10.86%), T₃ Sixer plus (13.13%), T₇ *Beauveria bassiana* 1.0% WP (14.56%), T₁ Neem oil (17.20%), and T₄ *Metarhizium anisopliae* 1.0% WP (18.47%). Statistics showed that the treatments (T₂, T₆), (T₃, T₇), and (T₁, T₄) were comparable to one another

Second spray: Percent fruit infestation

Every treatment was discovered to be significantly better than the control, according to the data from Table 4, percent fruit infestation on the third, seventh, and fourteenth days following spraying. When compared to the untreated T₀ control (26.99%), T₅ Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC (4.64%) had the least percent fruit infestation of okra shoot & fruit borer. This was succeeded by T₂ Spinosad 45percent SC (6.34%), T₆ Cypermethrin 25% EC (8.72%), T₃ Sixer plus (11.29%), T₇ *Beauveria bassiana* 1.0% WP (13.82%), T₁

Neem oil (15.63), and T₄ *Metarhizium anisopliae* 1.0% WP (17.38%).

The most successful treatment for okra shoot and fruit borer, *Earias vittella* (Fab.) infestation was discovered to be chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC; as a result, this treatment is suggested for the control of this pest on okra. The first and second spray values are 5.43% and 4.64%, respectively. Kumar and Sharma (2014) and Kumar *et al.*, (2017) [34], who found that chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC was the most successful course of action, corroborated these findings. The next successful treatment was Spinosad 45% SC, with efficacy values of 9.49% and 6.34% in the first and second sprays. Naidu & Kumar (2019) [66], Mahajan *et al.*, (2020) [67], & Singh *et al.*, (2020) [56] corroborated these results. According to reports, Spinosad 45% SC is the 2nd-most successful treatment available. In the first and second sprays, cypermethrin 25% EC has an efficacy of 10.86% and 8.72%. Research by Saran *et al.*, (2018) and Naidu and Kumar (2019) [66] corroborated these conclusions. The results of the first and second sprays of Sixer Plus, which was determined to be the next most effective treatment, are 13.13% and 11.29%. Reddy *et al.*, (2021) [46], and Gayathri and Kumar (2021) [20] corroborated these results. The next best treatment was *Beauveria bassiana* 1.0% WP (1×10⁹ CFU/gm), for which 14.56% and 13.82% of the efficacy values were obtained. Studies by Sarkar *et al.*, (2015) and Kaveri and Kumar (2020) [28] corroborated these results. Neem oil proved to be the next successful treatment, with efficacy values of 17.20% and 15.63%. Pachole *et al.*, findings corroborated these conclusions (2017). With efficacy values of 18.47% and 17.38%, *Metarhizium anisopliae* 1.0% WP (1×10⁸ CFU/gm) is the least effective treatment out of all of the treatments. The results of Chandravanshi *et al.*, (2019) [10] corroborated these conclusions.

To evaluate the economics of treatments (Cost Benefit ratio)

According to Table 5, each treatment had a noteworthy yield. T₅ Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC (101.58 q/ha) yielded the highest, compared to untreated T₀ control (38.09 q/ha), T₂ Spinosad 45% SC (85.18q/ha), T₆ Cypermethrin 25% EC (74.28 q/ha), T₃ Sixer plus (66.24q/ha), T₇ *Beauveria bassiana* 1.0% WP (51.45q/ha.), T₁ Neem oil

(48.74q/ha.), and T₄ *Metarhizium anisopliae* 1.0% WP (42.51q/ha.). Calculating the cost-benefit ratio produced an interesting result. T₅ Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC (1:3.86) was the most successful and cost-effective treatment of those that were examined. T₂ Spinosad 45% SC (1:3.24), T₆ Cypermethrin 25% EC (1:2.90), T₃ Sixer plus (1:2.54), T₇ *Beauveria bassiana* 1.0% WP (1:2.00), T₁ Neem oil (1:1.89), and T₄ *Metarhizium anisopliae* 1.0% WP (1:1.65) was the least expensive treatment when compared to the untreated T₀ control (1:1.53) that was examined.

According to reports from Kumar *et al.*, (2017), Naidu and Kumar (2019)^[66], and others, Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC had the greatest possible cost-benefit ratio (1:3.86). These findings were corroborated by the fact that the product had higher yields. Spinosad 45% SC yielded the second

effective benefit-cost ratio (1:3.24), which was corroborated by Chowdary *et al.*, (2010)^[13] and similar findings reported by Naidu and Kumar (2019)^[66]. The next effective benefit-cost ratio (1:2.90) for cypermethrin 25% EC was found, and Padwal and Kumar (2014) as well as Naidu and Kumar (2019)^[66] supported this finding. Sixer Plus cost-benefit ratio (1:2.54) was found, and Reddy *et al.*, (2021)^[46] findings were corroborated. *Beauveria bassiana* 1.0% WP (1×10⁹ CFU/gm) had a cost-benefit ratio of 1:2.00, which was confirmed by Kaveri and Kumar (2020)^[28] and Singh *et al.*, (2020)^[56]. All the treatments, the cost-benefit ratios for Neem oil (1:1.89) and *Metarhizium anisopliae* 1.0% WP (1×10⁸ CFU/gm) (1:1.65) were the lowest. Pachole *et al.*, (2017)^[68] and Chandravanshi *et al.*, (2019)^[10] corroborated these results.

Table 3: Efficacy of biopesticides with Cypermethrin 25% EC and Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC against shoot and fruit borer of okra, First spray: Percent shoot infestation

Treatments		Percent shoot infestation of <i>Earias vittella</i> (Fab.)				
		1 DBS	After spray			
			3 DAS	7 DAS	14 DAS	Mean
T ₁	Neem oil	21.72 (27.77)*	17.57 (24.73)*	15.36 (22.91)*	18.67 (25.55)*	17.20 (24.48)*
T ₂	Spinosad 45% SC	25.83 (30.22)*	9.89 (18.30)*	7.32 (15.70)*	11.28 (19.61)*	9.49 (17.88)*
T ₃	Sixer plus	25.85 (30.52)*	13.35 (21.42)*	11.41 (19.68)*	14.64 (22.49)*	13.13 (21.22)*
T ₄	<i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> 1.0% WP	26.96 (31.16)*	18.89 (25.76)*	16.83 (24.22)*	19.71 (26.35)*	18.47 (25.44)*
T ₅	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC	17.36 (24.62)*	5.70 (13.62)*	3.62 (10.96)*	6.98 (15.21)*	5.43 (13.36)*
T ₆	Cypermethrin 25% EC	23.26 (28.80)*	11.54 (19.86)*	8.78 (17.23)*	12.26 (20.49)*	10.86 (19.19)*
T ₇	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> 1.0% WP	23.06 (28.53)*	15.25 (22.91)*	12.09 (20.17)*	16.38 (23.81)*	14.56 (22.39)*
T ₀	Control (Untreated)	17.79 (24.95)*	21.22 (27.43)*	22.22 (28.12)*	23.15 (28.75)*	22.19 (28.10)*
Overall Mean		22.72	14.17	12.20	15.38	13.91
F- test		NS	S	S	S	S
S. Ed. (±)		15.27	3.38	5.50	2.79	0.46
C. D. (P = 0.05)		-	3.22	4.11	2.92	1.19

* Arcsine-transformed values are indicated by figures in parenthesis.

DAS = Day After Spraying; DBS = Day Before Spraying.

Table 4: Efficacy of biopesticides with Cypermethrin 25% EC and Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC against shoot and fruit borer of okra, Second spray: Percent fruit infestation

Treatments		Percent fruit infestation of <i>Earias vittella</i> (Fab.)				
		1 DBS	After spray			
			3 DAS	7 DAS	14 DAS	Mean
T ₁	Neem oil	22.11 (28.03)*	15.79 (23.41)*	14.35 (22.26)*	16.76 (24.16)*	15.63 (23.28)*
T ₂	Spinosad 45% SC	21.45 (27.58)*	6.45 (14.71)*	4.96 (12.28)*	7.61 (15.99)*	6.34 (14.53)*
T ₃	Sixer plus	23.24 (28.79)*	11.69 (19.98)*	9.55 (17.98)*	12.64 (20.80)*	11.29 (19.60)*
T ₄	<i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> 1.0% WP	20.44 (26.87)*	17.45 (24.68)*	16.14 (23.69)*	18.55 (25.49)*	17.38 (24.63)*
T ₅	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC	22.41 (28.24)*	4.99 (12.88)*	3.11 (10.10)*	5.82 (13.94)*	4.64 (12.34)*
T ₆	Cypermethrin 25% EC	21.14 (29.42)*	9.34 (17.77)*	6.68 (14.97)*	10.14 (18.56)*	8.72 (17.11)*
T ₇	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> 1.0% WP	21.21 (27.41)*	14.07 (22.02)*	12.57 (20.76)*	14.83 (22.63)*	13.82 (21.81)*
T ₀	Control (Untreated)	24.17 (29.44)*	25.70 (30.46)*	26.86 (31.21)*	28.43 (32.22)*	26.99 (31.30)*
Overall Mean		22.02	13.18	11.77	14.34	13.10
F-test		NS	S	S	S	S
S.Ed.(±)		2.40	0.57	0.68	1.40	0.33
C.D.(P=0.05)		-	1.33	1.45	2.07	1.01

* Arcsine-transformed values are indicated by figures in parenthesis.

DAS = Day After Spraying; DBS = Day Before Spraying.

Table 5: Economics of cultivation (Cost-benefit ratio)

Tr. No.	Treatment	Yield (q/ha)	Cost of yield (Rs/q)	Total Cost of Yield (Rs/ha)	Common Cost (Rs/ha)	Treatment Cost (Rs/ha)	Total cost (Rs/ha)	C: B Ratio
T ₁	Neem oil	48.74	2200	107228	54720	1750	56470	1:1.89
T ₂	Spinosad 45% SC	85.18	2200	187396	54720	2975	57695	1:3.24
T ₃	Sixer plus	66.24	2200	145728	54720	2500	57220	1:2.54
T ₄	<i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> 1.0% WP	42.51	2200	93522	54720	1625	56345	1:1.65
T ₅	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC	101.58	2200	223476	54720	3100	57820	1:3.86
T ₆	Cypermethrin 25% EC	74.28	2200	163416	54720	1450	56170	1:2.90
T ₇	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> 1.0% WP	51.45	2200	113190	54720	1700	56420	1:2.00
T ₀	Control (Untreated)	38.09	2200	83798	54720	-	54720	1:1.53

Conclusion

Following a critical evaluation of the available results, T₅ Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC was discovered to be the best and best possible care for controlling okra shoot & fruit borer, which was followed by T₂ Spinosad 45% SC, T₆ Cypermethrin 25% EC, T₃ Sixer plus, T₇ *Beauveria bassiana* 1.0% WP (1×10⁹ CFU/gm), T₁ Neem oil and T₄ *Metarhizium anisopliae* 1.0% WP (1×10⁸ CFU/gm) was found to be least effective compared to T₀ control (untreated). To guarantee that the biopesticides also work better against the okra shoot & fruit borer, *Earias vittella* (Fab.), further research and studies may be suggested. Developing suitable integrated pest management strategies against this pest may benefit from the application of the recommended dosages of chemical insecticides and bio pesticides.

References

- Archunan K, Pazhanisamy M. Field evaluation of biorational insecticides against shoot and fruit borer *Earias vittella* (Fabricius) on bhendi. Plant Archive. 2020; 20(1):2587-2590.
- Atwal AS. Agricultural pests of India and South-East Asia. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, Ludhiana. c1976; p. 283-285.
- Bangar NR, Patel JJ. Evaluation of various synthetic insecticides against *Earias vittella* (Fabricius) infesting okra. AGRES - An International e-Journal. 2012;1(3):367-375.
- Basavaraj K, Naik MI, Jagadish KS, Geetha S, Shadakshari YG. Efficacy of biorationals and botanical formulations against *Helicoverpa armigera* Hub. in sunflower. Journal of Biopesticides. 2014;7:94-98.
- Bhagwan DS, Kumar A. Field efficacy of cypermethrin and certain biopesticides against brinjal shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Guenee.) on brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(4):1930-1933.
- Budhvat KP, Magar PN. Biorational management of *Leucinodes orbonalis* on brinjal. Journal of Industrial Pollution Control. 2014;30(2):255-258.
- Butani DK, Jotwani MG. Insects in vegetables. Periodical Expert Books Agency, Vivek Vihar, Delhi (India); c1984. p. 45-66.
- Butani DK, Verma S. Insect pests of vegetables and their control, lady's finger. Pesticides. c1976; 10:31-37.
- Chandran R, Ramesha B, Sreekumar KM. Efficacy of new insecticides against okra shoot and fruit borer, *Earias vittella* (Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Entomon. 2020;45(4):295-300.
- Chandravanshi DK, Tomar RKS, Awasthi AK, Kerketta A. Field efficacy of different insecticides and biopesticides against okra shoot and fruit borer. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(1):2623-2625.
- Choudhary R, Kumar A, Jat GC, Vikram, Deshwal HL. Comparative efficacy of certain bio-pesticides against tomato fruit borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6(8):1068-1081.
- Choudhury MAR, Mondal MF, Khan AU, Hossain MS, Azad MOK, Prodhan MDH, Naznin MT. Evaluation of biological approaches for controlling shoot and fruit borer (*Earias vittella* F.) of okra grown in peri-urban area in Bangladesh. Horticulturae. 2021;7(1):7.
- Chowdary LR, Bheemanna M, Kumar LR. Field efficacy of rynaxypyr (coragen) 20 SC against fruit and shoot borer, *Earias vittella* (Fabricius) in okra. International Journal of Plant Protection. 2010;3(2):316-318.
- Chowdhury MAH, Alam MZ, Hossain MS, Suh SJ, Kwon YJ. Management of brinjal shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Guenee.) using different approaches. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research. 2017;42(3):539-548.
- Devi LL, Ghule TM, Chatterjee L, Senapati AK. Biorational management of shoot and fruit borer, *Earias vittella* (Fabricius) of okra and their effect on insect predators. Environment & Ecology. 2015;33(3):1052-1054.
- Devi LL, Ghule TM, Senapati AK, Chatterjee ML. Comparative efficacy of some biorational insecticides for management of shoot and fruit borer (*Earias vittella* Fabricius) on okra. Indian Journal of Applied Research. 2014;4(9):2249-555X.
- Dhaka SS, Rana R. Efficacy of some novel insecticides against shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Guenee.) in brinjal. Progressive Research - An International Journal. 2019;14(1):23-26.
- Game L, Nagar S, Simon S. Comparative efficacy of selected chemical insecticides and neem products against tomato fruit borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner.)) in Allahabad. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(4):2215-2218.
- Gautam HK, Singh NN, Rai AB. Effect of intercropping on infestation of shoot and fruit borer (*Earias vittella* Fabricius) on okra. Vegetable Science. 2015;42(2):68-70.
- Gayathri L, Kumar A. Field efficacy of certain insecticides against pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner.) on chick pea in Prayagraj. Journal of Entomology and Zoology. 2021;9(3):280-283.
- Ghugre DK, Gosalwad SS, Patil SK. Bio-efficacy of newer insecticides against fruit borers of okra.

- International Journal of Crop Sciences. 2020;8(1):2606-2011.
22. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research (Second edition). A Wiley interscience publication John Wiley & Sons, New York, c1984; p.680.
 23. Gosalwad SS, Kawathekar BR. Efficacy of insecticides against okra fruit borer (*Earias vittella* Fabricius). Journal of Plant Protection Research. 2009;6(2):59-63.
 24. Horticulture statistics at a glance. Horticulture Statistics Division, Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers' Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare, Government of India. 2018; [cited 2021 January 10]. 196 p. Available from: <https://nhb.gov.in/statistics/Publication/Horticulture%20Statistics%20at%20a%20Glance-2018.pdf>
 25. Javed M, Majeed MZ, Sufyan M, Ali S, Afzal M. Field efficacy of selected synthetic and botanical insecticides against lepidopterous borers, *Earias vittella* and *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench.). Pakistan Journal of Zoology. 2018;50(6):2019-2028.
 26. Kameshwaran C, Kumar K. Efficacy of newer insecticides against the brinjal, *Solanum melongena* (L.) shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Guenee.) in Karaikal district, U.T. of Puducherry. Asian Journal of Biosciences. 2015; 10(2).
 27. Karim MA. Insect pest of vegetable crops and their management. c1992; p. 112.
 28. Kaveri G, Kumar A. Field efficacy of certain biopesticides against okra shoot and fruit borer, *Earias vittella* (Fabricius.) on okra *Abelmoschus esculentus* (Linn.) Moench. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2020;8(6):1279-1281.
 29. Khanzada KK, Khanzada B, Chandio RH, Sipio FA, Jamali FH, Shah SA. Bio-efficacy of newer insecticides against shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Guenee.) on brinjal. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2018;6(4):1705-1709.
 30. Krishinaiah K. Methodologist for assessing crop losses due to pests of vegetables. Assessment of crop losses to pest and diseases. Ed. Govindu HC *et al.* USA Tech. 1980;33:259-267.
 31. Kumar AN, Kumar A. Comparative efficacy of certain chemicals with biopesticides against diamond back moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.) in cabbage, *Brassica oleracea* (L.). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2020;8(6):1350-1353.
 32. Kumar S, Sharma RC. Evaluation of newer group of insecticides against shoot and fruit borer (*Earias vittella* Fab.) on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(5):964-967.
 33. Kumar S, Yadav SK, Patidar SK, Joshi D, Sharma RC. Comparative efficacy of certain insecticides against fruit and shoot borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Guenee.) in brinjal. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2018;6(4):1656-1660.
 34. Kumar V, Gosalwad SS. Field efficacy of different insecticides against fruit borers of okra. International Journal of Plant Protection. 2017;10(2):423-427.
 35. Lande SS, Nagarkar AM. Bio-efficacy of newer insecticides against shoot and fruit borer of brinjal. International Journal of Plant Protection. 2017;10(2):284-287.
 36. Lal OP. Assessment of crop losses due to borers in vegetables. Assessment of crop losses due to pests and diseases. Ed. Govindu HC *et al.* USA Tech. Series No. 1980;33:195-201.
 37. Mallik SC. Vegetable production and marketing. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, Ludhiana. 1994; p.155-157.
 38. Mishra US, Roy SG, Sahu SK, Ranjit A. Bio-efficacy of new molecules of insecticides against okra shoot and fruit borer, *Earias vittella* (Fabricius). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2018;6(2):1418-1421.
 39. Mote UN. Vegetable production in India. Greenfields Publishers, Hyderabad. 1997; p. 168-172.
 40. Nasreen A, Cheema GM, Ashfaq M. Effect of neem products on the egg laying behaviour and egg hatchability of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner.) on chickpea. International Chickpea Newsletter. 2000;7:12-14.
 41. Naik MI, Jagadish KS, Shadakshari YG. Effect of biorationals and botanicals on the incidence of sucking pests and their natural enemies in sunflower. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2014;2(4):265-269.
 42. Paria NN, Saha MK. Field efficacy of newer insecticides against brinjal shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Guenee.). International Journal of Plant Protection. 2017;10(1):174-177.
 43. Pradhan S, Pradhan SC. Shoot and fruit borer in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) "Insect pests of vegetables and their control". Ed. Govindu HC *et al.* USA Tech. 1981;33:93-100.
 44. Raghuraman R, Chandran R, Bhuvanewari J, Babu AG, Awasthi AK, Sharma AK, *et al.* Comparative efficacy of insecticides and botanicals against brinjal shoot and fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* Guenee.). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2019;7(6):496-500.
 45. Rawat RR, Sharma BD, Tiwari U. Pest management in brinjal, Bhindi, chilli, tomato. Advances in Pest Management in Vegetable Crops. 1995; p. 157-158.
 46. Reddy PP. "Advances in integrated pest management of horticultural crops". Ed. Govindu HC *et al.* USA Tech. 1984;33:59-63.
 47. Saikia RK. Effect of neem-based bio-pesticides and chemical pesticides against brinjal shoot and fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* Guenee.) in Assam. Indian Journal of Plant Protection. 2014;42(3):262-265.
 48. Saini RK, Srivastava HC, Tripathi CP. Efficacy of some novel insecticides against brinjal shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Guenee.). Journal of Plant Protection Research. 2014;54(1):78-81.
 49. Sarfraz M, Keddie BA. Biorational insecticides: Mechanism, efficacy, and application. In: Insecticides - Basic and Other Applications. Intech Open. 2012; p. 1-36. doi: 10.5772/29228.
 50. Saxena AK, Ghai S. Evaluation of synthetic insecticides and botanical extracts against fruit and shoot borer (*Earias vittella* Fabricius) in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench.). Journal of Entomological Research. 2015;39(2):117-121.
 51. Sharma D, Kumar K. Evaluation of new insecticides against fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Guenee.) on

- brinjal. Indian Journal of Entomology. 2018;80(1):56-59.
52. Sharma G, Bhatnagar A. Efficacy of biopesticides against okra shoot and fruit borer, *Earias vittella* (Fabricius). International Journal of Agricultural and Statistical Sciences. 2019;15(2):585-589.
53. Sharma RK. Biopesticides and their role in integrated pest management. Ed. USA Tech. 2010; p. 185-190.
54. Singh SP, Singh RP. Bio-efficacy of biorational insecticides against pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub.) on chickpea. Indian Journal of Entomology. 2016;78(1):14-16.
55. Singh V, Sharma RC. Evaluation of insecticides against brinjal shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Guenee.) in Jammu. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(5):2532-2535.
56. Tiwari RK, Sharma AK, Singh AK. Evaluation of synthetic and botanical insecticides against fruit and shoot borer (*Earias vittella* Fabricius) in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Vegetable Science. 2020;47(2):139-144.
57. Verma J, Saxena AK. Comparative efficacy of biopesticides and chemical insecticides against okra shoot and fruit borer, *Earias vittella* (Fabricius). *Indian Journal of Plant Protection*. 2017;45(2):170-173.
58. Yadav SK, Choudhary RC. Efficacy of new insecticides against fruit and shoot borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Guenee.) on brinjal. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2018;6(4):1816-1819.
59. Yadav SK, Sharma RC, Kumar D, Patel K. Efficacy of biopesticides against fruit and shoot borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Guenee.) on brinjal. Indian Journal of Plant Protection. 2017;45(2):135-137.
60. Yadav SK, Tripathi RM, Baiswar P. Efficacy of newer insecticides against okra fruit and shoot borer, *Earias vittella* Fabricius. Indian Journal of Entomology. 2019;81(1):120-122.
61. Butani, Verma. Twenty insect pests attack okra plants at different stages of growth; c1976.
62. Narke, Suryawanshi. Estimates of fruit borer and shoot damage on okra; c1987.
63. Srinivasan, Gowder. Fruit borer damage in Madras; c1959.
64. Krishnaiah. Fruit borer infestation on okra; c1980.
65. Nalini, Kumar. Cost-benefit ratio estimation; c2016.
66. Naidu, Kumar. Comparative efficacy of biopesticides and selected chemicals on okra; c2019.
67. Mahajan, *et al.* Spinosad 45% SC as an effective treatment for okra pests; c2020.
68. Pachole *et al.* Neem oil as a treatment for okra pests; c2017.