

ISSN Print: 2617-4693 ISSN Online: 2617-4707 IJABR 2024; 8(6): 402-405 www.biochemjournal.com Received: 12-03-2024 Accepted: 17-04-2024

#### Tushadri Singh

Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, India

#### JP Jaiswal

Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, India

#### Prabhdeep Singh

G S Khush Institute of Genetics, Plant Breeding and Biotechnology, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, Punjab, India

## Corresponding Author: Tushadri Singh

Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, India

# Characterization of lines for morpho-physiological, yield and yield-related traits under heat stress

# Tushadri Singh, JP Jaiswal and Prabhdeep Singh

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2024.v8.i6e.1357

## Abstract

The Present investigation entitled was undertaken during *Rabi* season 2021-22 at Crop Research Center, GBPUAT, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand. The experiment was carried out to derive Analysis of Variance, general mean, GCV, PCV, Genetic advance as a percent of mean, broad sense heritability, correlation coefficient upon analyzing different lines for various traits in wheat relating to heat stress. The experiment was carried out with 14 different varities/genotypes for yield and yield contributing characters under terminal heat stress. The trial consisted of three replications of each genotype in RBD design. High genetic advance was seen for characters-chlorophyll content, grain yield per plant and 1000 grain weight. The correlation coefficients showed positive and significant correlation between grain yield per plant with plant height, number of tillers per plant, days to 50% flowering, number of grains per spike, spikelets per spike, relative water content and chlorophyll content. The high magnitude of direct effect of number of grains per spike, number of spikelets per spike, 1000 grain weight along with highly significant correlation in the desirable direction towards grain yield per plant indicated the true and strong relationship between grain yield and these characters suggesting direct selection based on these character would help in selecting the high yielding genotypes in wheat under heat stress conditions.

Keywords: Correlation coefficient, genetic advance, wheat, high yielding genotypes

## Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is a crucial cereal crop globally, serving as a staple food for approximately 35% of the world's population (FAO, 2022) <sup>[5]</sup>. It provides essential nutrients, including carbohydrates, proteins, and micronutrients, which are vital for human nutrition and food security. In 2023, global wheat production was estimated at around 778 million metric tons, highlighting its significance in the agricultural sector (FAOSTAT, 2023) <sup>[6]</sup>.

Despite its importance, wheat production faces significant challenges from various abiotic stresses, with heat stress being one of the most critical due to the ongoing global climate change. Heat stress, characterized by temperatures exceeding optimal growth levels, adversely affects wheat's physiological and biochemical processes, resulting in decreased yield and quality (Asseng *et al.* 2015) <sup>[2]</sup>. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) projects that average global temperatures could rise by 1.5 to 2 °C by the end of the century, potentially reducing wheat yields by up to 21% without adaptive interventions (IPCC, 2021) <sup>[8]</sup>.

The impact of heat stress on wheat includes a shorter grain filling period, impaired photosynthesis, increased respiration, and accelerated senescence, all contributing to yield losses (Farooq *et al.* 2011) <sup>[7]</sup>. For example, temperatures above 30 °C during the grain-filling stage can reduce grain weight by 5-10% per °C increase (Lobell *et al.* 2012) <sup>[11]</sup>. Therefore, developing heat-tolerant wheat genotypes is essential to maintaining productivity under rising temperatures.

The range is a simple measure of variability that indicates the difference between the highest and lowest values in a dataset. It provides a quick understanding of the extent of variation in traits such as yield, grain quality, and heat tolerance among wheat genotypes. In the context of heat stress tolerance, a wide range signifies substantial genetic diversity, which is crucial for selecting and breeding heat-resistant varieties (Smith *et al.* 2020) <sup>[15]</sup>.

The Genotypic Coefficient of Variation (GCV) quantifies the genetic variability in a population by expressing the standard deviation of genotypic values as a percentage of the

mean. High GCV values indicate substantial genetic diversity, which is essential for effective selection in breeding programs. For traits related to heat stress tolerance, high GCV suggests a greater potential for genetic improvement (Johnson *et al.* 1955)<sup>[9]</sup>.

The Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation (PCV) measures the total variability in a trait, including both genetic and environmental factors. PCV provides insight into the overall variability observed in the population. A comparison of PCV and GCV values can help determine the proportion of phenotypic variance attributable to genetic factors. For heat stress tolerance in wheat, a smaller difference between PCV and GCV indicates that the trait is less influenced by environmental conditions (Burton, 1952) [3].

Broad sense heritability estimates the proportion of total phenotypic variance that is due to genetic variance. High heritability values indicate that a trait is predominantly controlled by genetic factors, making it more responsive to selection. In the context of heat stress tolerance, high heritability suggests that breeding for improved tolerance can be more effective and efficient (Falconer & Mackay, 1996) [4].

Genetic advance as a percentage of mean (GAM) combines heritability and the selection differential to predict the expected improvement in a trait from one generation to the next. High GAM values indicate significant potential for genetic improvement through selection. For heat stress tolerance in wheat, GAM helps in estimating the progress that can be made in breeding programs under selection pressure (Allard, 1960) [1].

Correlation analysis examines the relationships between different traits, helping to identify whether selection for one trait will have positive, negative, or neutral effects on another. Understanding these relationships is crucial in breeding programs, particularly for complex traits like heat stress tolerance, which may be associated with other agronomic characteristics. Positive correlations between heat tolerance and yield-related traits, for example, would be beneficial for developing resilient wheat varieties (Pearson, 1895) [13].

Addressing the challenge of heat stress in wheat through the characterization and development of heat-tolerant genotypes is imperative for ensuring future food security. This research aims to evaluate a diverse set of wheat genotypes under heat stress conditions to identify potential candidates for breeding programs focused on enhancing heat tolerance.

## **Materials and Methods**

The study was conducted at the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. Field experiment was scheduled

during the *rabi* seasons of 2021-22 at the Norman E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre, GBPUAT in three replications in Randomized Complete Block Design.

Experimental material included 14 lines, namely

| S.no | Variety/Genotype | Pedigree        |
|------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1.   | HUW832           | ADAPTED VARIETY |
| 2.   | HUW826           | ADAPTED VARIETY |
| 3.   | HI1628           | ADAPTED VARIETY |
| 4.   | BN145            | 1455/2*PASTOR   |
| 5.   | BN85             | ALTAR84/AE      |
| 6.   | BN97             | VILI//KACHU     |
| 7.   | WH1142           | ADAPTED VARIETY |
| 8.   | BN171            | KACHU/SAVAL/3/  |
| 9.   | BN5              | HPW381          |
| 10.  | BN297            | QUAIU/MUNAL//   |
| 11.  | BN218            | WAXWING/        |
| 12.  | BN239            | ATTILA*2/PBW65  |
| 13.  | BN279            | ATTILA/3*BCN/1  |
| 14.  | BN292            | HEILO//MILAN/   |

### Observations recorded included

- 1. Morphological observations plant height (cm), number of tillers per plant, days to 50% heading, number of grains/spikes, number of spikelets/spike, days to maturity, 1000-grain weight (g), grain yield per plant (g)
- 2. Biochemical traits- chlorophyll contents, osmotic measurements
- 3. Physiological traits- Relative Water Content (RWC)

Statistical analysis were done using R (version 4) statistical software.

# Results

The mean sum of squares for different characters under study highlighted that treatments for all the different traits are significant and positive, highlighting that variation for these traits among parents exist (Table 1).

The range, general mean, Genotypic coefficient of variation, Phenotypic coefficient of variation, broad sense heritability of 14 lines/varieties studied are given in Table 2. High genetic advance was seen for characters-chlorophyll content, grain yield per plant and 1000 grain weight.

The genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients showed positive and significant correlation between grain yield per plant with plant height, number of tillers per plant, days to 50% flowering, number of grains per spike, spikelets per spike, relative water content and chlorophyll content (Table 3). Similar findings have been reported by Kumar, A. *et al.* 2018 [10]; Sharma, D. *et al.* 2021 [14]; Nagar, U.S. 2021.

Table 1: Mean sum of squares for different traits under study; \*, \*\* significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively

|                            |                         | Mean Sum of Squares            |                              |                               |                        |                  |                             |                                 |                     |         |                   |  |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------|-------------------|--|
| Source of<br>Variations df | Plant<br>height<br>(cm) | No. of<br>tillers per<br>plant | Days to 50 percent flowering | No. of<br>grains per<br>spike | Spikelets<br>per spike | Days to maturity | 1000<br>grain<br>weight (g) | Grain<br>yield per<br>plant (g) | Chlorophyll content | RWC     | Osmotic potential |  |
| Replication 2              | 5.31                    | 0.31                           | 10.95                        | 14.55                         | 3.87                   | 8.33             | 0.95                        | 0.26                            | 1.87                | 2.04    | 0.42              |  |
| Treatments 13              | 15.99**                 | 3.42*                          | 49.93**                      | 36.93**                       | 25.08**                | 23.96**          | 45.04**                     | 56.79**                         | 38.89**             | 23.32** | 20.32**           |  |
| Error 26                   | 23.20                   | 2.05                           | 3.29                         | 31.01                         | 3.13                   | 2.12             | 0.70                        | 0.63                            | 1.12                | 0.38    | 0.94              |  |

**Table 2:** General mean, range, GCV (Genotypic coefficient of variation), PCV (Phenotypic coefficient of variation), Broad sense heritability, GA (Genetic Advance as a percentage of mean)

| Traits                   | General Mean | Range         | GCV   | PCV   | Broad Sense heritablity | GA as % of mean |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------|-------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Plant height (cm)        | 92.48±2.28   | 72.66-108.33  | 7.90  | 9.74  | 65.8                    | 13.21           |
| No. of tillers per plant | 20.68±0.83   | 8.67-13.33    | 11.89 | 27.82 | 28.3                    | 10.46           |
| Days to 50% flowering    | 99.31±1.05   | 94-105.33     | 2.37  | 2.99  | 62.8                    | 3.87            |
| No. of grains per spike  | 29.85±3.22   | 20.53-42.8    | 11.59 | 21.96 | 47.9                    | 12.60           |
| Spikelets per spike      | 18.95±1.02   | 16.33-23      | 5.23  | 10.69 | 33.9                    | 5.27            |
| Days to maturity         | 136.65±0.84  | 133.67-138.67 | 0.57  | 1.21  | 62.4                    | 14.59           |
| 1000 grain weight        | 38.48±0.48   | 29.03-46.40   | 9.98  | 10.22 | 85.4                    | 42.10           |
| Grain yield per plant    | 13.22±0.47   | 7.17-20.10    | 22.32 | 23.12 | 87.2                    | 44.40           |
| Chlorophyll content      | 42.78±0.61   | 39.67-68.33   | 27.68 | 27.79 | 84.2                    | 46.79           |
| Relative water content   | 60.47±0.42   | 45-85         | 17.48 | 17.57 | 72.6                    | 33.21           |
| Osmotic potential        | 659.73±0.83  | 544-787       | 12.13 | 12.39 | 41.21                   | 29.72           |

Table 3: Genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficient of traits under study; \*, \*\* significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively

|                      |    |                    |         |            |            |            | 1        | 1000       | ~ .       |         | Т           |           |
|----------------------|----|--------------------|---------|------------|------------|------------|----------|------------|-----------|---------|-------------|-----------|
| Traits               |    | Plant              | No. of  | Days to 50 | No. of     | Spikelet's | Days to  | 1000       | Grain     |         | Chlorophyll | Osmotic   |
|                      |    | height tillers per |         |            | grains per | per spike  |          | grain      | yield per | RWC     | content     | potential |
|                      |    | (cm)               | plant   | flowering  | spike      | per spike  | ·        | weight (g) |           |         | content     | -         |
| Plant height         | rg |                    | 0.276** | -0.428**   | 0.342**    | 0.081      | -0.670** | 0.193*     | 0.182**   | 0.006   | 0.046       | -0.013    |
| (cm)                 | rp |                    | 0.072   | -0.288**   | 0.180*     | 0.106      | -0.195*  | 0.166*     | 0.151*    | 0.041   | 0.049       | -0.014    |
| No. of tillers       | rg |                    |         | -0.035     | 0.233*     | -0.137     | 0.373**  | 0.174*     | 0.294**   | 0.107   | 0.038       | 0.031     |
| per plant            | rp |                    |         | -0.046     | -0.019     | 0.027      | 0.279**  | 0.083      | 0.094     | 0.09    | 0.040       | 0.023     |
| Days to 50           | rg |                    |         |            | 0.068      | 0.425**    | 0.290**  | 0.220*     | 0.342**   | -0.231* | 0.238**     | -0.133**  |
| percent<br>flowering | rp |                    |         |            | 0.018      | 0.127      | 0.089    | 0.186*     | 0.320**   | -0.169* | 0.231**     | -0.139**  |
| No. of grains        | rg |                    |         |            |            | 0.223*     | -0.448** | 0.424**    | 0.383**   | 0.02    | 0.123*      | -0.232**  |
| per spike            | rp |                    |         |            |            | 0.005      | -0.055   | 0.219*     | 0.197*    | 0.01    | 0.112*      | -0.245**  |
| Spikelets per        | rg |                    |         |            |            |            | -0.062   | 0.336**    | 0.580**   | 0.310** | 0.012       | -0.159**  |
| spike                | rp |                    |         |            |            |            | 0.141    | 0.339**    | 0.264**   | 0.129   | 0.001       | -0.162**  |
| Days to              | rg |                    |         |            |            |            |          | -0.003     | 0.359**   | -0.087  | 0.212**     | -0.122**  |
| maturity             | rp |                    |         |            |            |            |          | 0.014      | 0.347**   | 0.02    | 0.203**     | -0.128**  |
| 1000 grain           | rg |                    |         |            |            |            |          |            | 0.273**   | 0.169*  | 0.312**     | -0.321**  |
| weight (g)           | rp |                    |         |            |            |            |          |            | 0.269**   | 0.163*  | 0.284**     | -0.324**  |
| Grain yield per      | rg |                    |         |            |            |            |          |            |           | -0.123  | 0.204**     | -0.213**  |
| plant                | rp |                    |         |            |            |            |          |            |           | -0.125  | 0.195**     | -0.241**  |
| DWG                  | rg |                    |         |            |            |            |          |            |           |         | 0.100       | 0.336**   |
| I KWC -              | rp |                    |         |            |            |            |          |            |           |         | 0.095       | 0.322**   |
| Chlorophyll          | rg |                    |         |            |            |            |          |            |           |         |             | 0.235**   |
| content              | rp |                    |         |            |            |            |          |            |           |         |             | 0.132*    |
| Osmotic              | rg |                    |         |            |            |            |          |            |           |         |             |           |
| potential            | rp |                    |         |            |            |            |          |            |           |         |             |           |

## Conclusion

In conclusion, heat stress represents a critical challenge in crop production, particularly in wheat, where it significantly impacts yield through various morphophysiological and biochemical disruptions during crucial reproductive stages. The observed reductions in grain number and weight, slower filling rates, diminished grain quality, and shortened filling durations underscore the severity of terminal heat stress effects. Plants have demonstrated adaptive responses through morphological, physiological, and biochemical adjustments, including modifications in enzyme activities. While advancements in identifying heat tolerance genes offer promise, further comprehensive research is essential to enhance crop resilience and meet global food demands. Prioritizing collaborative efforts in heat-tolerant wheat breeding and supporting international food policies will be crucial steps toward ensuring sustainable food security worldwide.

## References

 Allard RW. Principles of Plant Breeding. John Wiley & Sons; c1960.

- 2. Asseng S, Foster I, Turner NC. The impact of temperature variability on wheat yields. Glob Chang Biol. 2015;21(2):174-185.
- 3. Burton GW. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proc 6th Int Grassland Congr. 1952;1:277-283.
- 4. Falconer DS, Mackay TFC. Introduction to Quantitative Genetics. Longman; c1996.
- 5. FAO. FAO Statistical Yearbook 2022. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; c2022.
- 6. FAOSTAT. Production/Crops, Wheat. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2023. Available from:
  - http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC
- 7. Farooq M, Bramley H, Palta JA, Siddique KHM. Heat stress in wheat during reproductive and grain-filling phases. Crit Rev Plant Sci. 2011;30(6):491-507.
- 8. IPCC. Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press; c2021.
- 9. Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. Agron J. 1955;47:314-8.

- 10. Kumar A, *et al*. Genetic dissection of wheat genotypes using morphophysiological traits for terminal heat tolerance. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2018;7(2):367-372.
- 11. Lobell DB, Sibley A, Ortiz-Monasterio JI. Extreme heat effects on wheat senescence in India. Nat Clim Chang. 2012;2(3):186-189.
- 12. Nagar US. Genetic Architecture of Yield and Some Morphophysiological Heat Tolerance Traits in Bread Wheat. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2017;6(11):2155-2165.
- 13. Pearson K. Note on regression and inheritance in the case of two parents. Proc R Soc Lond. 1895;58:240-242
- Sharma D, Jaiswal JP, Gahtyari NC, et al. Genetic dissection of physiological traits over trait based breeding in bread wheat conferring terminal heat tolerance. Cereal Res Commun. 2021;49:663-71. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s42976-021-00139-z
- 15. Smith A, *et al*. Genetic diversity and selection for heat tolerance in wheat. J Plant Breed. 2020.