

## International Journal of Advanced Biochemistry Research



ISSN Print: 2617-4693  
 ISSN Online: 2617-4707  
 IJABR 2024; SP-8(6): 207-210  
[www.biochemjournal.com](http://www.biochemjournal.com)  
 Received: 20-04-2024  
 Accepted: 25-05-2024

**Shubha Singh**  
 Department of Livestock  
 Products Technology, College  
 of Veterinary Sciences and  
 Animal Husbandry, Mathura,  
 Uttar Pradesh, India

**Meena Goswami**  
 Department of Livestock  
 Products Technology, College  
 of Veterinary Sciences and  
 Animal Husbandry, Mathura,  
 Uttar Pradesh, India

**Vikas Pathak**  
 Department of Livestock  
 Products Technology, College  
 of Veterinary Sciences and  
 Animal Husbandry, Mathura,  
 Uttar Pradesh, India

**Vivekanand**  
 Department of Animal  
 Nutrition, College of  
 Veterinary Sciences and  
 Animal Husbandry, Mathura,  
 Uttar Pradesh, India

**Arun Kumar Verma**  
 Division of Goat Products  
 Technology Laboratory,  
 Central Institute for Research  
 on Goats, Makhdoom, Farah,  
 Mathura, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Shubha Singh**  
 Department of Livestock  
 Products Technology, College  
 of Veterinary Sciences and  
 Animal Husbandry, Mathura,  
 Uttar Pradesh, India

## Impact of lactic acid as acidulants on quality attributes of hurdle technology based chicken meat pickle

**Shubha Singh, Meena Goswami, Vikas Pathak, Vivekanand and Arun Kumar Verma**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2024.v8.i6Sc.1355>

### Abstract

The present study was conducted at Department of Livestock Products Technology, College of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry, DUVASU, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh to develop and assess the quality characteristics of shelf stable chicken pickle incorporated with acidulant (lactic acid) as hurdle. Chicken pickle was prepared as per method, prescribed by Das *et al.* (2013) with slight modifications. Lactic acid was added as acidulant at 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5% level separately in chicken meat pickle. The pH, ash content, water activity and shear force values decreased whereas titrable acidity and moisture content increased significantly with incorporation of lactic acid. Among the sensory attributes, flavour, juiciness and overall acceptability of control and treatments with 0.5 and 1% level lactic acid had no significant difference; however, decreased significantly at 1.5% level. Therefore, it can be concluded that the best quality chicken pickle could be prepared with incorporation of 1% lactic acid in terms of physico-chemical, textural and sensory attributes.

**Keywords:** Meat pickle, acidulants, hurdle, lactic acid, shear force value

### Introduction

India's poultry business has evolved from a mere backyard activity to a significant commercial agriculture-based enterprise, revolutionizing its structure and methods of operation. India's poultry industry is still seeing remarkable development despite a number of obstacles throughout the years. Over the past three decades, the poultry sector has grown at a pace of about 8% annually. The overall amount of meat produced in India in 2017-18 was 7.7 million tons, according to DAHD (2018). The percentages of meat produced by buffalo, cattle, sheep, goats, pigs, and poultry were 19.83%, 4.62%, 7.6%, 14.22%, 6.41%, and 47.32%, respectively. India comes in fifth place behind the US, Brazil, the EU, and China in terms of broiler meat output. According to the 19<sup>th</sup> Livestock Census, there are currently 729.21 million poultry in India. In 2016-17, India produced over 3.5 million tons of broiler meat (DAHD 2017). Since chicken meat is less expensive than mutton or chevon meat and is more extensively consumed in India than beef or hog, chicken meat is an important component of the country's non-vegetarian diet. Availability of high biological value animal protein, essential amino acids, fat, essential fatty acids, vitamins and other nutrients ensure its popularity among masses. Value addition and the use of appropriate technology might increase its acceptability and lead to higher demand and better financial returns.

Chicken meat pickle is a shelf stable intermediate moisture type product. A variety of components, including meat, spices, sauces, oil, vinegar, and other culinary additives, are included in this simple value-added product. While preparing pickles, cooking and frying denatures the proteins in the meat and reduces its ability to hold water, which causes the meat to reduce water and lengthen its shelf life. Cooking also eliminates microbes found in meat and enhances the flavour of meat pickles. Pickled chicken meat has a notable sensory quality with reduced levels of bacteria, yeast, and mold. But the qualities of the meat, formulation, the processing method, and the changes in temperature and cooking time all affect the keeping quality of chicken pickle. Any component or method that results in a higher moisture content or a higher pH might ruin the pickle by encouraging the growth of

microorganisms and oxidizing lipids.

The pH of the food also significantly impacts the lethality of heat treatment of the food. For meat products to stay stable during storage, a pH value of less than 5.0 is deemed necessary (Dziezak, 1986) [8]. The product's microbial load is decreased by higher acidity, salt content, heating, frying, and low moisture levels. Therefore, the goal of acidifying meat pickles is to stop germs from growing and stabilize their shelf life at room temperature. Depending on the kind and concentration of acid utilized, as well as the kinds of microbes contained in the food, acidified items may restrict microbial growth or survival. Since ancient times, food has been preserved by fermenting it and adding organic acids to make it more acidic. Organic acids are more effective preservatives in undissociated state. An organic acid acts as a preservative more effectively because the pH of the food is lowered. The impact of lactic acid on products is associated with changes in the acid/base balance, proton donation, and disruptions in the process of cellular energy generation. Due to its origin in fermentation during food manufacturing, lactic acid is also commonly thought of as a naturally occurring acid. It is added to meat products to help suppress the growth and survival of pathogens and to slow down the growth of rotting bacteria. These organic acids have different antibacterial effects depending on application technique, concentration, and temperature.

### Materials and Methods

The experiments were conducted in the Department of Livestock Products Technology, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, DUVASU, Mathura. Live spent poultry birds were procured from Department of Poultry Science, DUVASU, Mathura. These birds were taken, given rest for 1-2 hours and then slaughtered at Meat Processing Laboratory following the standard procedure (Halal method). The lean carcass was eviscerated and dressed carcass was kept for conditioning in a refrigerator at  $4\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  for 4-6 hours and then frozen at  $-18^\circ\text{C}$  till further processing. All other ingredients like salt, mustard oil, vinegar, spices of Agmark grade and condiments etc required for product preparation were procured from local market of Mathura. All the chemicals used in the study were procured from Hi Media Laboratories (P) Ltd, Mumbai, India. Thermo rigid air tight PET containers were sourced from local market for packaging and were pre-sterilized by exposing to U.V. light for 30 minutes before use.

**Preparation of chicken pickle:** The chicken pickle was prepared using Das *et al.* (2013) [7] method with slight modifications (Singh *et al.*, 2019). Thawed chicken meat was cut into 1-2 inch chunks, and marinated with 1% salt and 1% turmeric powder for 30 minutes. The marinated chicken meat was then pre-cooked with appropriate cooking method for optimum time and then fried at  $175\pm 5^\circ\text{C}$  in pre-warmed mustard oil. In a separate kadahi containing prewarmed oil, mustards seeds, condiments, spice mix and salt were added consecutively followed by addition of fried meat chunks and vinegar and cooked for 5 minutes. The pickle was cooled to room temperature and packed in a pre-sterilized air tight PET container, with the remaining heated mustard oil filled to the top without leaving any air space. Chicken pickle was left for next 2 days at ambient temperature for maturing and then used for further analysis. The formulation used for preparation of chicken pickle is given in Table 1.

**Analysis of product:** Developed chicken pickle was evaluated for various physico-chemical properties as per standard procedures. The pH of chicken meat pickle was determined as per Trout *et al.* (1992) [18] method. Titrable acidity was determined as per Fisher and Peters (1968) [10]. Proximate composition such as moisture, fat, protein and ash percentage were evaluated as per AOAC (1995) [3]. Water activity of sample was measured by Aqua LAB dew point water activity meter 4TE. The colour parameters of the samples were measured using Hunter colorimeter of Color Tech PCM+ (Color Tec Associates Inc. Clinton NJ, USA). The coin shaped lance of instrument attached to software was directly put on the surface of chicken meat pickle at randomly chosen six different points (Hunter and Harold, 1987) [11]. Textural profile analysis, i.e. shear force value, was evaluated and measured with the help of instrumental texture profile analyzer (TA.HD Plus Texture Analyser) as per Bourne (1978) [6]. Sensory evaluation was carried out using eight-point hedonic scale with 8 = extremely desirable and 1 = extremely poor (Keeton, 1983) [13]. A sensory panel (semi-trained) of seven judges drawn from post-graduate students and faculty of Veterinary College, DUVASU, Mathura, India, were requested to evaluate the product for different quality attributes viz., colour and appearance, texture, flavour, texture, juiciness, saltiness, sourness and overall acceptability in sensory room of department. Plain lukewarm water was given for mouth rinsing in between sensing two samples. The freshly prepared chicken meat pickles after ageing were given for sensory evaluation at normal room temperature in late afternoon around 4:00 p.m. A total of three replications were carried out, with each analysis done in duplicate ( $n = 6$ ), except sensory studies where seven sensory panelists did sensory evaluation three times and  $n = 21$  observations were recorded for each sensory attribute.

### Statistical analysis

The data generated from various trials under each experiment were pooled and analyzed by statistical method of one way-ANOVA and mean  $\pm$  S.E. using SPSS-16.0 software package and sub-class of means were compared by using Duncan's multiple range test at 5% level (Duncan, 1955) [9].

### Results and Discussion

#### Physico-chemical properties

The effects of lactic acid on physico-chemical properties of chicken meat pickle are presented in table 2. The pH values decreased significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) while titrable acidity increased significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) with increased level of lactic acid in treatments due to acidic nature of lactic acid. Sharma *et al.* (2016) [14] also observed lower pH and higher titrable acidity of chicken croquettes incorporated with lactic acid at different concentrations than control. Moisture content increased significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) while ash content and  $a_w$  values decreased significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) in treatments than control. Aktas *et al.* (2003) [1] also reported that meat marinated in lactic acid solution had significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher moisture content than control due to moisture retention capacity of lactic acid. Barbut (2006) [4] reported that addition of liquid lactic acid caused immediate pH drop and separation of moisture and fat, which in turn resulted in lower cooking yield of salami type products. There was no significant difference observed in protein and ash content between control and treatments.

### Colour and textural parameters

There was no significant difference in lightness ( $L^*$ ), redness ( $a^*$ ) and yellowness ( $b^*$ ) values between control and treatments, however  $a^*$  values increased and  $L^*$  and  $b^*$  values decreased slightly with increased level of lactic acid. Bloukas *et al.* (1997) [5] and Andres *et al.* (2006) [2] also reported no significant change in colour values of vacuum packaged low fat frankfurters and low-fat chicken sausages respectively with addition of 2% lactic acid. Shear force values decreased significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) in LA2 and LA3 than control. Thomas *et al.* (2010) [17] also observed that shear force and work of shearing decreased significantly ( $p<0.01$ ) in pork sausages with incorporation of lactic acid due to protein denaturation and subsequent loss in binding properties of meat proteins. Sheard and Tali (2004) [15] also reported that decrease in pH below or above of isoelectric point of myofibrillar protein decreased the hardness of meat products.

### Sensory evaluation

Colour and appearance score of control were significantly ( $p<0.050$ ) higher than treatments due to protein denaturation and partial charring of product; however, there was no significant difference among the treatments. There was no significant difference in saltiness and texture scores between

control and treatments. Sourness scores of LA1 and LA2 were significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) higher than control and LA3, as incorporation of lactic acid provided sourness and tangy flavour to chicken pickle, which was much liked by sensory panellists. However sensory panellists reported unpleasant sourness and flavour in product at higher level (1.5%) of acid in LA3. Flavour, juiciness and overall acceptability score of control, LA1 and LA2 had no significant difference but decreased significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) in LA3. Juncher *et al.* (2000) [12] also observed that no significant change in sensory scores of cooked cured emulsion type meat products with addition of 2% lactic acid + 0.25% Glucono Delta-Lactone, however decreased significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) at higher level of lactic acid.

**Table 1:** Formulation used for preparation of chicken meat pickle

| S.N. | Ingredients     | Weight(gm) |
|------|-----------------|------------|
| 1    | Chicken meat    | 1000 gm    |
| 2    | Mustard oil     | 500 gm     |
| 3    | Salt            | 30 gm      |
| 4    | Dry Spice mix   | 30 gm      |
| 5    | Condiments      | 80 gm      |
| 6    | Vinegar         | 100 ml     |
| 7    | Turmeric powder | 10 gm      |
|      | Total           | 100        |

**Table 2:** Effect of lactic acid on physico-chemical properties (Mean±SE) of chicken meat pickle

| Parameters               | C                        | LA1                      | LA2                      | LA3                      | Treatment Mean |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| pH                       | 5.41 <sup>a</sup> ±0.02  | 5.09 <sup>b</sup> ±0.05  | 4.89 <sup>c</sup> ±0.02  | 4.31 <sup>d</sup> ±0.02  | 4.92±0.06      |
| Titrate acidity          | 0.78 <sup>c</sup> ±0.02  | 0.85 <sup>b</sup> ±0.05  | 0.91 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.02 | 1.01 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01  | 0.88±0.02      |
| Moisture (%)             | 43.75 <sup>c</sup> ±0.07 | 46.29 <sup>b</sup> ±0.05 | 47.49 <sup>b</sup> ±0.04 | 48.01 <sup>a</sup> ±0.14 | 46.38±0.09     |
| Protein (%)              | 18.37±0.04               | 18.47±0.02               | 18.54±0.03               | 18.50±0.06               | 18.47±0.10     |
| Fat (%)                  | 28.23±0.25               | 27.41±0.33               | 26.70±0.24               | 26.41±0.12               | 27.19±0.18     |
| Ash (%)                  | 6.98 <sup>a</sup> ±0.04  | 6.34 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.06 | 5.83 <sup>b</sup> ±0.03  | 5.58 <sup>b</sup> ±0.04  | 6.18±0.11      |
| Water activity ( $a_w$ ) | 0.949 <sup>a</sup> ±0.07 | 0.934 <sup>b</sup> ±0.04 | 0.929 <sup>b</sup> ±0.09 | 0.923 <sup>c</sup> ±0.03 | 0.933±0.06     |

C- steam cooking (without pressure) of marinated chicken meat for 15 minutes (control)

LA1- chicken meat pickle incorporated with 0.5% lactic acid

LA2- chicken meat pickle incorporated with 1.0% lactic acid

LA3- chicken meat pickle incorporated with 1.5% lactic acid

Overall means bearing different superscripts in a row (a, b, c, d.....) differ significantly ( $p<0.05$ )

**Table 3:** Effect of lactic acid on colour and textural parameters (Mean±SE) of chicken meat pickle

| Parameters                       | C                        | LA1                       | LA2                      | LA3                      | Treatment Mean |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Lightness ( $L^*$ )              | 30.63±0.73               | 29.93±0.85                | 28.59±0.61               | 27.31±0.57               | 29.11±0.77     |
| Redness ( $a^*$ )                | 4.78±0.83                | 5.21±0.53                 | 5.91±0.88                | 6.56±0.80                | 5.61±0.66      |
| Yellowness ( $b^*$ )             | 5.19±0.70                | 5.14±0.61                 | 4.75±0.91                | 4.49±0.92                | 4.89±0.43      |
| Shear force (N/cm <sup>2</sup> ) | 63.05 <sup>a</sup> ±0.34 | 56.76 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.55 | 53.27 <sup>b</sup> ±0.40 | 51.06 <sup>c</sup> ±0.37 | 56.03±0.58     |

C- steam cooking (without pressure) of marinated chicken meat for 15 minutes (control)

LA1- chicken meat pickle incorporated with 0.5% lactic acid

LA2- chicken meat pickle incorporated with 1.0% lactic acid

LA3- chicken meat pickle incorporated with 1.5% lactic acid

Overall means bearing different superscripts in a row (a, b, c, d.....) differ significantly ( $p<0.05$ )

**Table 4:** Effect of lactic acid on sensory attributes (Mean±SE) of chicken meat pickle

| Attributes            | C                       | LA1                     | LA2                     | LA3                     | Treatment Mean |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| Colour and appearance | 7.32 <sup>a</sup> ±0.02 | 7.22 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01 | 7.20 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01 | 7.18 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01 | 7.23±0.01      |
| Flavour               | 7.33 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01 | 7.35 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01 | 7.39 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01 | 7.16 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01 | 7.23±0.01      |
| Texture               | 7.46±0.09               | 7.44±0.11               | 7.46±0.21               | 7.34±0.31               | 7.42±0.14      |
| Juiciness             | 7.41 <sup>a</sup> ±0.04 | 7.40 <sup>a</sup> ±0.06 | 7.42 <sup>a</sup> ±0.02 | 7.18 <sup>b</sup> ±0.06 | 7.35±0.04      |
| Saltiness             | 7.28±0.08               | 7.24±0.03               | 7.19±0.05               | 7.21±0.06               | 7.23±0.04      |
| Sourness              | 7.27 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01 | 7.32 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01 | 7.33 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01 | 7.09 <sup>c</sup> ±0.02 | 7.25±0.01      |
| Overall acceptability | 7.48 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03 | 7.38 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01 | 7.44 <sup>a</sup> ±0.02 | 7.11 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02 | 7.35±0.01      |

C- steam cooking (without pressure) of marinated chicken meat for 15 minutes (control)

LA1- chicken meat pickle incorporated with 0.5% lactic acid

LA2- chicken meat pickle incorporated with 1.0% lactic acid

LA3- chicken meat pickle incorporated with 1.5% lactic acid

Overall means bearing different superscripts in a row (a, b, c, d.....) differ significantly ( $p<0.05$ )

## Conclusion

Incorporation of lactic acid as acidulants in chicken meat pickle resulted into significant effect on various physico-chemical, colour, textural and sensory properties. The pH, ash content, water activity and shear force values decreased significantly whereas titrable acidity and moisture content increased significantly ( $p < 0.050$  with increased level of lactic acid in chicken pickle. The lightness ( $L^*$ ), redness ( $a^*$ ), and yellowness ( $b^*$ ) values did not significantly differ between the treatments and the control. Among the sensory attributes, sourness scores of treatments with 0.5 and 1% lactic acid were significantly higher than control. Flavour, juiciness and overall acceptability of control, treatments with 0.5 and 1% lactic had no significant difference; However, decreased significantly in treatments with 1.5% lactic acid. Therefore, LA2- chicken pickle incorporated with 1.0% lactic acid was selected as the best treatment.

## Authors' contributions

SS conducted all the experiments and wrote manuscript; MG and SS designed the study; SS, MG Vivekanand edited the manuscript; Vivekanand helped with data tabulation and statistical analysis, VP and MG supervised the work;

## Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Division of Goat Products Technology Laboratory, Central Institute for Research on Goats, Makhdoom, Farah and Department of Livestock Products Technology, College of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry, DUVASU, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh for providing necessary financial and laboratory support in various capacities for undertaking above studies.

## Reference

1. Aktas N, Mu MI, Kaya M. The effect of organic acid marination on tenderness, cooking loss and bound water content of beef. *J Muscle Foods*. 2003;14:181-194.
2. Andres SC, Garcia ME, Zaritzky NE, Califano AN. Storage stability of low-fat chicken sausages. *J Food Eng*. 2006;72:311-319.
3. AOAC. Official methods of analysis. 17th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, D.C.; c1995.
4. Barbut S. Effects of caseinate, whey and milk powders on the texture and microstructure of emulsified chicken meat batters. *LWT-Food Sci Technol*. 2006;39:660-664.
5. Bloukas JG, Paneras ED, Papadima S. Effect of carrageenan on processing and quality characteristics of low-fat frankfurters. *J Muscle Foods*. 1997;8:63-83.
6. Bourne MC. Texture profile analysis. *Food Technol*. 1978;32:62-66.
7. Das AK, Nath DR, Hazarika M, Laskar SK. Studies on certain quality attributes of meat pickle prepared from spent chicken. *Vet World*. 2013;6(3):156-158.
8. Dziezak D. Antioxidants and antimicrobial agents. *Food Technol*. 1986;40:94-111.
9. Duncan DB. Multiple range and multiple F test. *Biometrics*. 1955;1:1-8.
10. Fisher RB, Peters DG. Theory and practice of qualitative chemical analysis. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Co.; c1968.
11. Hunter RS, Harold RW. The measurement of appearance. 2nd ed.; c1987.
12. Juncher D, Vestergaard CS, Soltoft-Jensen J, Wever CJ, Bertelsen G, Skibsted LH. Effects of chemical hurdles on microbiological and oxidative stability of a cooked cured emulsion type meat product. *Meat Sci*. 2000;55:483-491.
13. Keeton JT. Effect of fat and sodium chloride/phosphate levels on the chemical and sensory properties of pork patties. *J Food Sci*. 1983;48(3):878-881.
14. Sharma S, Sahoo J, Chatli MK. Standardization of acidity level in hurdle treated chicken croquettes using lactic acid. *J Anim Res*. 2016;6(5):779-785.
15. Sheard PR, Tali A. Injection of salt, tripolyphosphates and bicarbonate marinade solutions to improve the yield and tenderness of cooked pork loin. *Meat Sci*. 2004;68:305-311.
16. Singh S, Goswami M, Pathak V, Verma AK, Rajkumar V. Effect of steam cooking on quality characteristics of shelf stable chicken pickle. *J Meat Sci*. 2019;14(1&2):12-15.
17. Thomas R, Anjaneyulu ASR, Kondaiah N. Quality of hurdle treated pork sausages during refrigerated ( $4 \pm 1$  °C) storage. *J Food Sci Technol*. 2010;47:266-272.
18. Trout ES, Hunt NC, Johnson DE, Claus JR, Kastner CL, Kropf DH, Stroda S. Chemical, physical, and sensory characterization of ground beef containing 5 to 30 percent fat. *J Food Sci*. 1992;57:25-29.