

International Journal of Advanced Biochemistry Research



ISSN Print: 2617-4693
 ISSN Online: 2617-4707
 IJABR 2024; SP-8(6): 290-295
www.biochemjournal.com
 Received: 07-03-2024
 Accepted: 12-05-2024

Anamika
 Lala Lajpat Rai University of
 Veterinary and Animal
 Sciences (LUVAS), Hisar,
 Haryana, India

Dr. Ankit Magotra
 Lala Lajpat Rai University of
 Veterinary and Animal
 Sciences (LUVAS), Hisar,
 Haryana, India

Poonam Ratwan
 Lala Lajpat Rai University of
 Veterinary and Animal
 Sciences (LUVAS), Hisar,
 Haryana, India

Yogesh C Bangar
 Lala Lajpat Rai University of
 Veterinary and Animal
 Sciences (LUVAS), Hisar,
 Haryana, India

BS Malik
 Lala Lajpat Rai University of
 Veterinary and Animal
 Sciences (LUVAS), Hisar,
 Haryana, India

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Ankit Magotra
 Lala Lajpat Rai University of
 Veterinary and Animal
 Sciences (LUVAS), Hisar,
 Haryana, India

Effect of various agro-climatic factors on production, reproduction and growth traits in Hardhenu cattle

Anamika, Dr. Ankit Magotra, Poonam Ratwan, Yogesh C Bangar and BS Malik

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26174693.2024.v8.i6Sd.1304>

Abstract

This study was conducted to evaluate the effect of different agro-climatic factors on various production, reproduction and growth traits of Hardhenu cattle. Effects of period and season of birth, period and season of calving, and parity on different targeted traits were analysed in 440 Hardhenu cows held at the Cattle breeding farm, Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Hisar, Haryana. The overall least-squares means of Total Milk Yield (TMY), 300 days milk yield (300D MY), lactation length (LL), dry period (DP), service period (SP), calving interval (CI), number of AI per conception (AI/conception), birth weight, body weight at one month, two month and three month were 3854.47±181.79 kg, 3691.13±205.02 kg, 299.89±10.86 days, 89.12±13.64 days, 385.00±16.00 days, 104.50±15.71 days, 1.57±0.22, 24.88±0.54 kg, 32.96±0.85 kg, 41.08±1.06 kg and 60.94±1.54 kg, respectively. Among different agro-climatic factors, the period of birth had significant ($p<0.05$) effect on birth weight, one month body weight and two month body weight. Similarly, calving period ($p<0.01$) and parity ($p<0.05$) had significant effect on TMY, 300D MY, LL and DP. It was observed that winter season was found to be suitable for lesser AI/conception. It was concluded that proper managerial practices need to be followed for overall improvement in the targeted traits in Hardhenu cattle.

Keywords: Agro-climatic factors, dry period, growth, hardhenu cattle, milk yield, reproduction

Introduction

Globally, the livestock industry is highly dynamic and evolving in developing countries in response to the ever-increasing demand for livestock products. Livestock is important in India in many ways, including wealth handling, generation of employment, insurance, recycling of waste and leftovers from crops and agriculture industries, improvement of soil structure and fertility, and pest control. Globally, the livestock sector is seen as an ideal approach for the issue of food insecurity (Braun, 2010) [45].

India has the world's largest cattle population, but its per animal average milk produced is very low in comparison to developed nations. There are 193.46 million (36.04%) cattle, with approximately 51.35 million (26.5%) crossbred cattle (20th Livestock Census 2019) [1]. The cattle population trend (2012-2019) shows a clear shift in dairy animal stock towards crossbreds, with their population increased by 26.90% (20th Livestock Census 2019) [1]. In comparison to many developed countries around the world, the India's milk productivity remains the lowest. Milk production in India was 209.96 million tonnes in 2020-21 and 221.06 million tonnes in 2021-22, representing a 5.29% annual increase. Out of total milk produced, 29.91% milk is contributed by crossbred cattle and average milk production of crossbred cows is about 8.32 kg/day (BAHS 2022-23). All India per capita availability of milk was around 444 grams/day, while 320 grams/day worldwide (BAHS 2022-23).

Dairy animal productivity could be raised by crossbreeding low milk producing cows with high milk producing exotic cows. The purpose of this systematic breeding is to combine the high milk production and early maturity of exotic cattle breeds with the hardiness, disease resistance, and adaptability of Indian cows (Ayad *et al.* 2022; Vinothraj *et al.* 2016) [5, 44]. Hardhenu is a crossbred (Bostaurus × Bosindicus) dairy cow developed by the Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, LUVAS, Hisar, with 62.5% exotic lineage and Holstein predominance.

It is popular in northern India due to its high milk production capability as well as better adaptability to local climatic variations; additionally, it has a promising high tendency for further improvement on genetic basis (Dev *et al.* 2021) [16].

A number of different agro-climatic factors (period and season birth, period and season of calving, parity etc.) influence reproduction, production, and growth traits and thus directly obscure the expression of actual worth of a breed and animal (Dev *et al.* 2021, Yadav *et al.* 2020, Abera *et al.* 2012, Garrick *et al.* 2009, Prayaga and Henshall 2005, Demeke *et al.* 2003) [16, 47, 20, 2, 34, 15]. The present study was conducted to assess the importance and effect of different agro-climatic factors on production, reproduction and growth traits of Hardhenu cattle.

Materials and Methods

Data: Records on production, reproduction and growth traits of 440 Hardhenu cows kept at Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Hisar, Haryana kept over a period of 10 years (2008-2018) were used. Animals with lactation lengths less than 100 days and milk produced less than 3 kg per day were excluded from the study.

Traits: Eleven different production, reproduction and growth traits up to third parity were examined in this study. Production traits taken under consideration were total milk yield (TMY), 300 days milk yield (300D MY), lactation length (LL), dry period (DP); reproduction traits as calving interval (CI), service period (SP), number of artificial insemination per conception (AI/Conception). However, birth weight, one month body weight, two month body weight and three month body weight were considered as growth traits.

Statistical analysis: The following least-squares method of the General linear model was used to discern the influence of effect of period and season of birth, period and season of calving and parity order on reproduction, production and growth traits in targeted population.

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + P_i + Q_j + e_{ijk} \quad (1)$$

$$Y_{ijklmn} = \mu + P_i + Q_j + R_k + S_l + T_m + e_{ijklmn} \quad (2)$$

Where,

Y_{ijk} = Observed value of growth traits;

Y_{ijklmn} = observed value of reproduction and production traits;

μ = Overall mean;

P_i = Effect of i^{th} period of birth;

Q_j = Effect of j^{th} season of birth;

R_k = Effect of k^{th} period of calving;

S_l = Effect of l^{th} season of calving;

T_m = Effect of m^{th} parity;

e_{ijk} and e_{ijklmn} = random error associated with each observation assumed to be NID $e(0, \sigma^2)$.

Where, $i = 1, 2$; $j = 1, 2, 3$; $k = (1, 2, 3$ for 1st parity) and $(1, 2$ for 2nd and 3rd parity); $l = 1, 2, 3$; $m = 1, 2, 3$.

Model [1] analysed the growth traits *viz.* birth weight, one month, two month and three month body weight whereas

Model [2] analysed production and reproduction traits *viz.* TMY, 300D MY, LL, DP, CI, SP, AI/Conception.

For this study, the data were classified according to period of birth, season of birth, period of calving and season of calving. Different agro-climatic factors were classified in various categories as two period of birth i.e. I (2008-2011) and II (2012- 2016); three season of birth: I -Winter (December to March), II- Summer (April to July), III - Rainy (August to November); three period of calving: - I (2011-2013), II (2014-2016), III (2017-2019); three season of Calving: I -Winter (December to March), II- Summer (April to July), III –Rainy (August to November) and three group in parities i.e. I (1st parity), II (2nd parity) and III (3rd parity).

Results and Discussion

The overall least-squares means for reproduction, production and growth traits, *viz.* TMY, 300D MY, LL, DP, CI, SP and AI/Conception, birth weight, body weight at one month, two month and three month were 3854.47±181.79 kg, 3691.13±205.02 kg, 299.89±10.86 days, 89.12±13.64 days, 385.00±16.00 days, 104.50±15.71 days, 1.57±0.22, 24.88±0.54 kg, 32.96±0.85 kg, 41.08±1.06 kg and 60.94±1.54 kg respectively in Hardhenu cattle (Table 1, 2 and 3).

Analysis revealed that there was no significant association of period of birth, season of birth and season of calving with TMY, 300D MY and LL ($p>0.05$) in Hardhenu cattle. However, animals born in period 2012-2016 and summer season were producing higher TMY, 300D MY and longer LL than period 2008-2011, winter and rainy season (Table 1). Cows calved in winter season were having higher mean values of TMY, 300D MY and LL than the cows calved in summer and rainy season. There was significant association ($p<0.01$) of period of calving with TMY, 300D MY and LL. Animals calved during period 2017-2019 were having significantly higher TMY (4222.22±178.93) kg, 300D MY (3938.31±201.80) kg and longer LL (311.57±10.68) days than period 2011-2013 - TMY (3262.27±245.33) kg, 300D MY (3223.94±276.68) kg and LL (305.26±14.65) days and period 2014-2016 - TMY (4078.92±189.90) kg, 300D MY (3911.14±214.16) kg and LL (309.84±11.34) days. Petrovic *et al.* (2009) [32] depicted significant effect of year and season of birth on all the lactation traits. On the other hand, Habib *et al.* (2010) [22] and Veraparsad *et al.* (2013) [47] depicted non-significant effect of year and season of birth on lactation traits. Animals calved during period 2017-2019 were having significantly 960 and 715 kg higher TMY, 300D MY respectively than period 2011-2013 and animals calved during period 2017-2019 were having significantly 144 and 27 kg higher TMY and 300D MY respectively than period 2014-2016 TMY and 300D MY. Similarly, significant effect of calving period was noticed in the literature on 300D MY (Thakur and Singh 2000 and 2001) [41, 42]. In contrast, Tewari *et al.* (1995) in Jersey × Sahiwal cows and Das *et al.* (2006) [12] in HF and Sahiwal cows observed no significant effect of period on various performance traits. The best performance of cows calved during the period 2014-2019 might be due to improvement of managerial practices over years, coupled with high number of high milk yield cows. Das *et al.* (2001) [13] and Thakur and Singh (2000 and 2005) [41, 42] also noticed the influence of season of calving on various production traits

of purebred and crossbred cows and winter calvers were recorded to have the highest means, while non-significant influence of season of calving was reported on TMY (Jadhav and Khan 1995) [25] and 300D MY (Das *et al.* 2006) [12]. There was significant ($p<0.05$) association found in TMY, 300D MY and LL of cows and different parities in Hardhenu cattle. On basis of Table 1, it was evident that animals in parity III were having higher TMY (4162.258±135.567) kg, 300D MY (3937.566±152.890) kg and longer LL (319.531±8.095) days than parity I TMY (3151.637±77.235) kg, 300D MY (2969.660±87.105) kg and LL (314.462±4.612) days and parity II TMY (3599.823±108.005) kg, 300D MY (3417.622±121.806) kg and LL (314.132±6.449) days. Animals in parity II were having 448 litre higher TMY and 300D MY as compared to animals of parity I and animals in parity III were having 563 and 520 litre higher TMY and 300D MY respectively as compared to animals of parity II. The studies carried out on LL by Kumar *et al.* (2014) [27] also reported similar findings. On contrary, Wondifraw *et al.* (2013) [46] and Singh *et al.* (2013) reported non-significant effect of parity on lactation length. Wondifraw *et al.* (2013) [46] and Japheth *et al.* (2015) [26] also depicted significant effect of parity on 300D MY in cattle.

Analysis revealed that, there was no significant association of period and season of birth and season of calving with DP ($p>0.05$) in Hardhenu cattle. However, animals born in period 2008-2011 and summer season had shorter DP than period 2012-2016, winter and rainy season and animals calved in rainy season were having favourable mean values of DP than the animals calved in winter and summer season. Significant association ($p<0.01$) of period of calving with DP in Hardhenu cattle (Table 1) was observed. Animals calved in period 2011-2013 were having significantly shorter DP (78.54±18.41) days than period 2014-2016 and period 2017-2019 DP i.e. (95.198±14.248) days and (93.633±13.425) days respectively. There was significant ($p<0.05$) association found in DP of cows with different parities in Hardhenu cattle. It was evident that animals in parity III were having significantly shorter DP as compared to animals of parity I and II respectively. Similar to our findings, non-significant effect of year and season of birth on dry period by Habib *et al.* (2010) [22]. There was significant association ($p<0.01$) of period of calving with DP. Animals calved in period 2011-2013 were having significantly shorter DP than period 2014-2019. Season of calving had no significant effect on DP and those animals calved in rainy season were having favourable mean values of DP than the animals calved in winter and summer season. Similar to our findings, Bhutkar *et al.* (2014) [9], Dhawan *et al.* (2015) [17], Kumar (2015) [28] and Sawant *et al.* (2016) [38] reported non-significant effect of season of calving on DP. Whereas, numerous researchers have documented the considerable impact of calving season on DP in the literature (Chaudhari *et al.* 2013, and Raja and Gandhi, 2015) [11, 36]. According to Ahmed *et al.* (2007) [11, 36], parity has considerable effects on DP in crossbred cattle. On the other hand, Poudel *et al.* (2017) [33] found that parity had no significant impact in Murrah buffalo.

Analysis showed that neither the period and season of birth, nor the period and season of calving, were significantly associated with SP or CI ($p>0.05$) in Hardhenu cattle (Table 2). However, animals born during period 2008-2011 and winter season were having shorter SP and CI than period

2012-2016, summer and rainy season in Hardhenu cattle. Animals calved in period 2011-2013 and rainy season were having shorter SP and CI than later period 2014-2019, winter and summer season. Similarly, the non-significant effect of calving period on SP and CI was reported by Saha *et al.* (2010) [37] in Karan-Fries cattle. Non-significant effect of season of calving on SP and CI was reported by Divya *et al.* (2014) [18] and Kumar (2015) [28] in crossbred cattle and Basak *et al.* (2018) [6] in Deoni. Repugnant to the above findings, a significant effect of calving period and calving season on SP and CI was reported by many scientists (Chaudhari *et al.* 2013 and Dash *et al.* 2016) [11, 1]. Here, there was non-significant association found in different parities of animals with their SP and CI in Hardhenu cattle. On perusal of Table 2, animals in third parity were having shorter SP and CI. There was no significant association found between different parities of animals with their SP and CI in Hardhenu cattle. However, the significant effect of parity on SP and CI was observed by Dash *et al.* (2016) [14] in crossbred (Karan-Fries) and Basak *et al.* (2018) [6] in Deoni cattle.

It was observed that there was no significant association of period and season of birth with AI/conception ($p>0.05$) in Hardhenu cattle. However, animals born in period 2008-2011 and winter season were having lesser AI/conception than period 2012-2016, summer and rainy season and animals of 1st parity were having lesser AI/conception as compared to animals of later parities. There was significant association ($p<0.01$) of period and season of calving with AI/conception in Hardhenu cattle (Table 2). The mean value of AI/conception was found lesser for animals calved during the period 2011-2013 in comparison to the later period 2014-2019. Animals calved in period 2011-2013 were having significantly lesser AI/conception (1.11±0.30) than period 2014-2016 AI/conception (1.62±0.23) and period 2017-2019 AI/conception (1.99±0.22). Animals calved in winter season were having significantly ($p<0.01$) lesser AI/conception (1.38±0.24) than summer season (1.66±0.26) and rainy season (1.68±0.23). The mean value of AI/conception was found 0.5 and 0.8 lesser for animals calved during the period 2011-2013 in comparison to the later period 2014-2016 and period 2017-2019 respectively and animals calved in winter season were having significantly 0.28 and 0.3 lesser AI/conception than summer and rainy season respectively. Bolacali and Ozturk (2017) [10] revealed a significant influence of calving season in Simmental cows, which is consistent with the current findings. Lactation parity (Ozkan and Gunes, 2007) [30] have significant effect on the number of AI per conception, similar with the findings of our study. However, Ozkan and Gunes (2011) [31] found non-significant effect of calving year, lactation parity, and calving season on the number of AI per conception.

Analysis revealed that there was significant association of period of birth with birth weight, one and two month body weight ($p<0.05$) in Hardhenu cattle. Animals born in period 2008-2011 were having significantly higher birth weight (26.58±0.87) kg, one month weight (34.42±1.36) kg and two month weight (41.20±1.70) kg than period 2012-2016 birth weight (23.19±0.85) kg, one month weight (31.50±1.34) kg and two month weight (40.96±1.67) kg. However, there was no significant association of period of birth with three month body weight ($p>0.05$). Animals born in period 2012-2016 were gaining higher weight than period 2008-2011.

Animals born in period 2008-2011 were having significantly 3.39, 2.92 and 0.92 kg higher birth, one month and two month body weight respectively than period 2012-2016 birth, one month and two month body weight respectively. Animals born in period 2012-2016 were gaining higher three month bodyweight than period 2008-2011. Similarly, In numerous breeds of cattle, including Brahman cross (Duma and Tanari, 2008) [19], North-eastern Thai (Intaratham *et al.* 2008) [24], Bali (Supriyantono *et al.* 2011) [40], and Aceh cattle (Putra *et al.* 2014) [35], the growth traits of cattle has been improved. Climatic variation in the breeding and feeding area can lead to differences in feed availability between years, which can affect growth traits and there was no significant association of season of birth with birth weight, one month, two month and three month body weight in the targeted population ($p>0.05$). Calves born in winter season gain higher birth weight, one month and two month body weight than born in summer and rainy

season. Similarly, according to various studies, the season had an insignificant effect on the birth weight of Bos-indicus cattle breeds including Fogera cattle (Addisu *et al.* 2010) [3] and Ongole grade (Hartati *et al.* 2015) [23]. Despite this, there have been reports of the season having a major effect on a number of breeds of cattle, including Bali (Gunawan and Jakaria, 2011) [21], Gudali, and Wakwa (Ndofor-Foleng *et al.* 2011) [29], and Sheko (Bayou *et al.* 2015) [8].

This study concluded that, among the agro-climatic factors, period of birth had significant ($p<0.05$) effect on Birth weight, one month body weight and two month body weight. Similarly, the period of calving had significant ($p<0.01$) effect on TMY, 300D MY, LL and DP taken into consideration and the period and calving season was found to have significant ($p<0.05$) effect on AI/conception. Parity had significant ($p<0.05$) effect on TMY, 300D MY, LL and DP.

Table 1: Effect of agro-climatic factors on production traits in Hardhenu Cattle

Effects	No. of animals(n)	TMY	300D MY	LL	DP
Overall mean	440	3854.47±181.79	3691.13±205.02	299.89±10.86	89.12±13.64
Period of birth					
2008-2011	280	3748.62±170.08	3627.45±191.82	295.82±10.16	89.00±12.76
2012-2016	160	3960.32±226.09	3754.81±254.98	303.96±13.50	89.25±16.96
Season of birth					
Winter	115	3660.67±200.41	3521.06±226.02	288.17±11.97	92.67±15.04
Summer	147	4002.54±188.48	3847.73±212.56	309.83±11.26	87.45±14.14
Rainy	178	3900.20±200.71	3704.60±226.35	301.67±11.99	87.48±15.06
Period of Calving **					
2011-2013	93	3262.27 ^b ±245.33	3223.94 ^b ±276.68	305.26 ^b ±14.65	78.54 ^b ±18.41
2014-2016	200	4078.92 ^a ±189.90	3911.14 ^a ±214.16	309.84 ^a ±11.34	95.20 ^a ±14.25
2017-2019	147	4222.22 ^a ±178.93	3938.31 ^a ±201.80	311.57 ^a ±10.68	93.63 ^a ±13.43
Season of calving					
Winter	156	3889.24±196.24	3779.22±221.32	295.52±11.72	100.36±14.72
Summer	88	3888.88±208.26	3757.15±234.88	312.76±12.44	90.35±15.63
Rainy	196	3788.29±187.49	3567.02±211.44	291.40±11.20	76.67±14.07
Parity *					
I	184	3151.64 ^b ±77.24	2969.66 ^b ±87.11	314.46 ^b ±4.61	116.94 ^b ±5.80
II	108	3599.82 ^a ±108.01	3417.62 ^a ±121.81	314.13 ^a ±6.45	105.10 ^a ±8.10
III	74	4162.26 ^a ±135.57	3937.57 ^a ±152.89	319.53 ^a ±8.10	97.21 ^a ±10.17

* Significant ($p<0.05$); ** Significant ($p<0.01$) Mean values with different superscripts differ significantly

Table 2: Effect of agro-climatic factors on reproduction traits in Hardhenu cattle

Effects	No. of animals(n)	SP	CI	AI/conception
Overall mean	440	104.50±15.71	385.00±16.00	1.57±0.22
Period of birth				
2008-2011	280	100.76±14.70	380.17±14.97	1.24±0.21
2012-2016	160	108.24±19.54	389.83±19.90	1.31±0.28
Season of birth				
Winter	115	93.91±17.32	373.67±17.64	1.46±0.25
Summer	147	114.31±16.29	396.20±16.59	1.52±0.23
Rainy	178	105.29±17.34	385.12±17.67	1.54±0.25
Period of Calving **				
2011-2013	93	99.08±21.20	377.37±21.60	1.11 ^a ±0.30
2014-2016	200	112.46±16.41	393.03±16.72	1.62 ^b ±0.23
2017-2019	147	101.97±15.46	384.59±15.75	1.99 ^b ±0.22
Season of calving **				
Winter	156	109.84±16.96	391.19±17.28	1.38 ^a ±0.24
Summer	88	118.90±18.00	399.29±18.33	1.66 ^b ±0.26
Rainy	196	85.59±16.20	364.51±16.51	1.68 ^b ±0.23
Parity				
I	184	146.44±6.67	426.65±6.80	1.59±0.10
II	108	134.08±9.33	415.88±9.51	1.80±0.13
III	74	131.79±11.72	412.60±11.93	1.97±0.17

* Significant ($p<0.05$); ** Significant ($p<0.01$) Mean values with different superscripts differ significantly

Table 3: Effect of agro-climatic factors on growth traits in Hardhenu cattle

Effects	No. of animals (n)	birth weight	1 month BW	2 month BW	3 month BW
Overall mean	470	24.88±0.54	32.96±0.85	41.08±1.06	60.94±1.54
Period of birth *					
2008-2011	240	26.58 ^b ±0.87	34.42 ^b ±1.36	41.20 ^b ±1.7	60.64±2.46
2012-2016	230	23.19 ^a ±0.85	31.50 ^a ±1.34	40.96 ^a ±1.67	61.25±2.42
Season of birth					
Winter	163	25.81±0.89	34.93±1.42	43.52±1.77	60.63±2.55
Summer	117	24.66±1.10	32.08±1.73	38.57±2.16	57.21±3.13
Rainy	190	24.17±1.04	31.87±1.64	41.15±2.05	64.99±2.96

* Significant ($p<0.05$); Mean values with different superscripts differ significantly,

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study highlights significant findings regarding the impact of various factors on reproduction, production, and growth traits in Hardhenu cattle. Specifically, the period of birth significantly influenced birth weight, one-month body weight, and two-month body weight. Moreover, the period of calving had a substantial effect on total milk yield (TMY), 300-day milk yield (300D MY), lactation length (LL), and dry period (DP). Additionally, both the period and calving season were found to significantly affect AI/conception rates. Furthermore, parity demonstrated significant effects on TMY, 300D MY, LL, and DP. These results underscore the complex interplay of temporal factors in shaping the performance metrics of Hardhenu cattle, contributing valuable insights for breeding and management practices in this breed.

References

- 20th Livestock Census All India Report, DAHD & F. 2019. Ministry of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India. Available from: www.dahd.nic.in.
- Abera H, Solomon A, Yoseph M. Influence of non-genetic factors on growth traits of Horro (Zebu) and their crosses with Holstein Friesian and Jersey cattle. *Int J Livest Prod.* 2012;3:72-77.
- Addisu B, Taye M, Kebede A, Mekuriaw G, Tassew A, Mulugeta T, *et al.* Milk yield and growth performance of cattle under partial suckling system at Andassa Livestock Research Centre, North West Ethiopia. *Livest Res Rural Dev;* c2010, 22(8).
- Ahmed MKA, Teirab AB, Musa LA, Peters KJ. Milk production and reproduction traits of different grades of zebu x Friesian crossbreds under semi-arid conditions. *Arch Tierz Dummerstorf.* 2007;50(3):240-249.
- Ayad AA, Abd-Allah M, Kamal MA. Non-genetic factors affecting phenotypic parameters of milk production and reproductive performance in lactating Egyptian buffaloes. *Arch Agric Sci J.* 2022;5(1):10-24.
- Basak S, Das ND. Effect of parity, period and season of calving on production and reproduction traits on Deoni Cattle. *J Anim Health Prod.* 2018;6:1-4.
- Basic Animal Husbandry Statistics. 2022-23. Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, India.
- Bayou E, Haile A, Gizaw S, Mekasha Y. Evaluation of non-genetic factors affecting calf growth, reproductive performance and milk yield of traditionally managed Sheko cattle in southwest Ethiopia. *Springerplus.* 2015;4(568):1-17.
- Bhutkar SS, Thombre BM, Bainwad DV. Effect of non-genetic factors on production traits in Deoni cows. *J Agric Vet Sci.* 2014;7:09-14.
- Bolacali M, Ozturk Y. Effect of non-genetic factors on reproductive traits in Simmental cows reared in subtropical climate condition. *J Anim Plant Sci.* 2017;27:1420-25.
- Chaudhari M, Kumar R, Khana AS, Dalal DS. Genetic studies on production traits in crossbred cattle. *Indian J Vet Sci.* 2013;1:2.
- Das D, Goswami RN, Mill DC, Deka D. Monthly and cumulative monthly milk yield of Jersey and Holstein Friesian cattle in Assam. *Indian Vet J.* 2006;83:35-37.
- Das GC, Das D, Roy TC, Goswami RN, Nahardeka N. Comparative performances of Jersey, Red Sindhi and their crosses with local cattle in respect of some economic traits. *Indian Vet J.* 2001;78:123-125.
- Dash SK, Gupta AK, Singh A, Chakravarty AK, Singh M, Kumar V. Performance appraisal and genetic parameter estimation of all lactation traits in Karan Fries cattle. *Indian J Anim Res.* 2016;52:7-12.
- Demeke S, Nesor FWC, Schoeman SJ. Early growth performance of Bostaurus x Bosindicus cattle crosses in Ethiopia: Evaluation of different crossbreeding models. *J Anim Breed Genet.* 2003;120:39-50.
- Dev K, Dhaka SS, Yadav AS, Patil CS. Effects of non-genetic factors on production performance traits in Hardhenu crossbreed cattle. *J Pharm Innov.* 2021;10(11):1007-1015.
- Dhawan S, Yadav AS, Dhaka SS, Chakraborty D. Genetic studies on production and production efficiency traits in Sahiwal cattle. *Indian Vet J.* 2015;92:35-38.
- Divya P, Singh A, Gandhi RS, Singh RK. Estimation of breeding values of first lactation 305-day milk yield from single and multi-trait animal models in Karan Fries cattle. *Indian J Anim Sci.* 2014;84(10):1085-1089.
- Duma Y, Tanari M. Potential response to selection of Brahman Cross cattle growth characteristics in Bila River Ranch, South Sulawesi. *J Agric Res.* 2008;4:25-33.
- Garrick DJ, Taylor JF, Fernando RL. Deregressing estimated breeding values and weighting information for genomic regression analyses. *Genet Sel Evol.* 2009;41(1):1-8.
- Gunawan A, Jakaria. Genetic and non genetic effects on birth, weaning and yearling weights of Bali cattle. *Media Peternak.* 2011;34(2):93-98.
- Habib MA, Afroz MA, Bhuiyan AKFH. Lactation performance of Red Chittagong Cattle and effects of environmental factors. *Bangladesh J Vet Med.* 2010;27(1):18-25.

23. Hartati, Muladno, Jakaria, Priyanto R, Gunawan A, Aryogi, Talib C. Heritability estimation of and non-genetic factors affecting production traits of Indonesian Ongole cross. *Indones J Anim Vet Sci.* 2015;20(3):168-174.
24. Intaratham W, Koonawootrittriron S, Sopannarath P, Graser HU, Tumwasorn S. Genetic parameters and annual trends for birth and weaning weights of a Northeastern Thai indigenous cattle line. *Asian-australas J Anim Sci.* 2008;21(4):478-483.
25. Jadhav A, Khan FH. Genetic and non genetic factors affecting first lactation yield in Holstein × Sahiwal crossbreds. *Indian J Dairy Sci.* 1995;48:251-252.
26. Japheth KP, Mehla RK, Imtiwati, Bhat SA. Effect of non-genetic factors on various economic traits in Karan Fries crossbred cattle. *Indian J Dairy Sci.* 2015;68(2):163-169.
27. Kumar N, Eshetie A, Tesfaye A, Yizengaw HA. Productive performance of indigenous and HF crossbred in Gondar, Ethiopia. *Vet World.* 2014;7(3):177-181.
28. Kumar P. Genetic evaluation of Frieswal sires for test day milk records and first lactation traits [MVSc. Thesis]. Hisar, Haryana, India: Lala Lajpat Rai Univ. of Veterinary and Animal Sciences; c2015.
29. Ndofor-Foleng HM, Ebangi AL, Musongong GA, Nwakalor NL. Evaluation of non-genetic factors affecting pre-weaning and post-weaning growth traits in the Gudali and Wakwa cattle reared in Cameroon. *Int J Nat Sci Res.* 2011;2(2):388-394.
30. Ozkan M, Gunes H. Researches on the milk production characteristics of Simmental cattle in commercial farms in Kayseri. *J Fac Vet Med Istanbul Univ.* 2007;33:17-30.
31. Ozkan M, Gunes H. Effects of some factors on milk yield characteristics of Simmental cows on commercial farms in Kayseri. *J Fac Vet Med Istanbul Univ.* 2011;37:81-88.
32. Petrovic MD, Skalicki Z, Petrovic MM, Bogdanovic V. The effect of systematic factors on milk yield in Simmental cows over complete lactations. *Biotechnol Anim Husb.* 2009;25(1-2):61-71.
33. Poudel D, Bhattarai N, Kaphle K, Sapkota S, Kandel M. Effect of parity on lactational efficiency of Murrah crossbred buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis* L.) in Central Nepal. *Int J Agric For.* 2017;7:140-144.
34. Prayaga KC, Henshall JM. Adaptability in tropical beef cattle: genetic parameters of growth, adaptive and temperament traits in a crossbred population. *Aust J Exp Agric.* 2005;45:971-983.
35. Putra WPB, Hartatik T. The Estimation of Body Weight in Aceh Cattle using some Body Dimension. *Indones J Anim Sci.* 2014;3(2):76-80.
36. Raja TV, Gandhi RS. Factors influencing productive and reproductive performance of Sahiwal cattle maintained at organized farm conditions. *Indian J Anim Sci.* 2015;85:628-633.
37. Saha S, Joshi BK, Singh A. Generation-wise genetic evaluation of various first lactation traits and herd life in Karan Fries cattle. *Indian J Anim Sci.* 2010;80:451-456.
38. Sawant P, Singh B, Sawant D, Yadav SP, Bhinchhar BK. Effect of genetic and nongenetic factors on first lactation traits in Gir cows. *Indian J Anim Res.* 2016;50:872-876.
39. Singh S, Das AK, Taggar RK, Chakraborty D, Kumar N. Effect of genetic and nongenetic factors on production traits of Frieswal (Holstein Friesian × Sahiwal) crossbred cows. *Indian J Vet Res.* 2013;22(1):63-66.
40. Supriyanto A, Hakim L, Suyadi S, Ismudiono I. Breeding Programme Development of Bali Cattle at Bali Breeding Centre. *Anim Prod;* c2011, 13(1).
41. Thakur YP, Singh BP. Performance evaluation of Jersey × Zebu crossbreds involving different indigenous breed performance of Jersey × Tharparkar crossbreds. *Indian Vet J.* 2000;77:169-171.
42. Thakur YP, Singh BP. Factors affecting first lactation milk yield traits in Jersey cows. *Indian J Anim Res.* 2005;39:115-118.
43. Veraprasad AR, Raghun T, Kumar MK, Prakash MG. Studies on the productive performance of jersey x sahiwal cows in Chittoor district of Andhra Pradesh. *Afr J Agric Res.* 2013;8(14):1200-1203.
44. Vinothraj S, Subramaniyan A, Venkataramanan R, Joseph C, Sivaselvam SN. Genetic evaluation of reproduction performance of Jersey × Red Sindhi crossbred cows. *Vet World.* 2016;9(9):1012.
45. Von Braun J. The role of livestock production for a growing world population. *Lohmann Inf Int.* 2010;45(2):3-9.
46. Wondifraw Z, Thombre BM, Bainwad DV. Effect of non-genetic factors on milk production of Holstein Friesian × Deoni crossbred cows. *Int J Livest Prod.* 2013;4(7):106-112.
47. Yadav T, Magotra A, Kumar R, Bangar YC, Garg AR, Kumar S, *et al.* Evaluation of candidate genotype of leptin gene associated with fertility and production traits in Hardhenu (*Bos taurus* × *Bos indicus*) cattle. *Reprod Domest Anim.* 2020;55(12):1698-1705.